

Exhibit 6F

Excerpts of July 24, 2014 C. Sallee Deposition Transcript

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
3 In re)
4) Chapter 9
5 CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,) Case No. 13-53846
6 Debtor.) Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
7

8 The videotaped deposition of CAROLINE
9 SALLEE, called for examination pursuant to the
10 Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States
11 District Courts pertaining to the taking of
12 depositions, taken before GINA M. LUORDO, a notary
13 public within and for the County of Cook and State
14 of Illinois, at 77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3500,
15 Chicago, Illinois, on the 24th day of July, 2014,
16 at the hour of 9:04 a.m.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Reported by: Gina M. Luordo, CSR, RPR, CRR
25 License No.: 084-004143

**Elisa Dreier Reporting Corp. (212) 557-5558
950 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10022**

1 on your behalf to represent you today, if you know?
2 A. I don't know.
3 Q. You're functioning, I guess -- there are
4 multiple Ernst & Young witnesses who have filed
5 expert reports in the case. You're aware of that,
6 correct?
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. And I want to try to figure out kind of
9 your role with respect to these other witnesses,
10 okay?
11 A. Okay.
12 Q. You're holding yourself out as an expert,
13 I guess, in tax policy; is that correct?
14 A. So I'm an expert in the real and personal
15 property taxes for the General Fund for the City of
16 Detroit.
17 Q. You're not holding yourself out as an
18 expert in urban policy, correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. You're not an expert in health benefits?
21 A. Correct.
22 Q. You're not an expert on government?
23 A. Correct.
24 Q. You're not an expert on blight reduction?
25 A. Correct.

10
1 A. Correct.
2 Q. You're not an expert on the state
3 government or the Michigan government, correct?
4 A. Correct.
5 Q. Not an expert on casinos or wagering
6 revenue?
7 A. Correct.
8 Q. Not an expert on wagering tax revenue?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Not an expert on art valuation?
11 A. Correct.
12 Q. Not an expert on pensions?
13 A. Correct.
14 Q. Not an expert on government grants?
15 A. Correct.
16 Q. You're not an expert on information
17 technology?
18 A. No.
19 Q. You're not an expert on transportation
20 systems?
21 A. Correct.
22 Q. And you wouldn't hold yourself out as an
23 expert in accounting?
24 A. Correct.
25 Q. You're not an expert in financial

11
1 Q. You're not an expert on property
2 assessment?
3 A. I'm not an expert on property assessment.
4 Q. And you've never assessed property before,
5 correct?
6 A. That's correct.
7 Q. You're not an expert in property tax
8 collection?
9 A. That's correct.
10 Q. Not an expert on real estate valuation?
11 A. Correct.
12 Q. Never done a real estate valuation before?
13 A. That's correct.
14 Q. Never been involved in property tax
15 collection before, correct?
16 A. By understanding the mechanisms of
17 property tax collections, I understand those, but
18 in terms of an expert in how it's being collected?
19 Logistics?
20 Q. Well, I'm asking more of a factual
21 question. Have you ever personally been involved
22 in collecting property taxes before?
23 A. No, I have not.
24 Q. You're not holding yourself out as an
25 expert in real estate in general, correct?

13
1 analysis?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. You are not an expert on Chapter 9
4 bankruptcy?
5 A. Correct.
6 Q. You're not holding yourself out as an
7 expert on state revenue sharing?
8 A. So I am holding myself out as an expert on
9 state revenue sharing for the City of Detroit.
10 Q. Have you ever been involved in state
11 revenue sharing before?
12 A. What do you mean by involved?
13 Q. I mean, has there ever been any work that
14 you've done in the area of state revenue sharing
15 before?
16 A. Any work? So I've analyzed how the State
17 of Michigan does their revenue sharing, and I've
18 looked at distribution to certain counties, cities,
19 townships. So in that capacity, I understood how
20 it's done and in this case, how the revenue was
21 going to the City of Detroit.
22 Q. Is the only work you've done on state
23 revenue sharing for purposes of this case?
24 A. No.
25 Q. What was the other work you've done?

1 A. So I've done some work for the City of
2 Flint, Michigan and then looking at how revenue
3 sharing worked for past clients at my old job.

4 Q. What was that job?

5 A. I worked for Anderson Economic Group.

6 Q. And did you do work on Michigan revenue
7 sharing or other states' revenue sharing?

8 A. Just Michigan.

9 Q. Okay. And you understand that the state
10 has significantly cut its revenue sharing to all
11 the cities in Michigan in recent years, correct?

12 A. I understand that Michigan revenue sharing
13 has gone down.

14 Q. And it's gone down by hundreds of millions
15 of dollars to Detroit, correct?

16 A. I wouldn't say hundreds of millions of
17 dollars. So overall Michigan's revenue sharing has
18 gone down, and Detroit has had fluctuations. So in
19 any given year, it's gone up and down.

20 Q. Well, there have been analyses, though,
21 that have showed that the cuts in recent years have
22 cost Michigan -- I mean cost the City of Detroit
23 more than \$700 million. You're aware of that,
24 correct?

25 A. I can't speak to that because I don't have

1 sharing is a problem for them, yes.

2 Q. And the City of Flint, when it was in
3 fiscal distress, what activities did it try to
4 engage in to improve its fiscal condition?

5 A. I can't speak to that.

6 Q. What specifically were you doing for the
7 City of Flint?

8 A. So this is a public case, so I feel I can
9 talk about it. So we were retained by the City of
10 Flint to look at their revenue forecasting for the
11 next five years.

12 Q. And so the City of Flint did revenue
13 forecasting over a period of five years?

14 A. That's right.

15 Q. And why were you doing that? Why were you
16 looking at the revenue forecasting?

17 A. Because we were asked to.

18 Q. I mean, why did they want you to, though?
19 What was --

20 A. My understanding is that EY had -- was
21 hired, and I was brought in from the restructuring
22 team to look at the revenue forecasting, and they
23 were asked by the State of Michigan to look at
24 their -- both their expenses and their --
25 forecasted expenses and revenues, and the State

1 an analysis in front of me.

2 Q. I mean, do you know how much cumulatively
3 the cuts in the last decade to revenue sharing have
4 cost the City of Detroit?

5 A. I do not know that.

6 Q. You know that many cities, though, are
7 under financial distress in Michigan because of the
8 cuts to revenue sharing, correct?

9 A. I can't speak about other cities and
10 townships.

11 Q. Well, the City of Flint, was that city
12 under financial distress?

13 A. The City of Flint has been under financial
14 distress, yes.

15 Q. And is one of the causes of financial
16 distress of the City of Flint the cut in state
17 revenue sharing?

18 A. I would say it's been one of the factors.

19 Q. And one of the factors causing Detroit's
20 fiscal distress is the cut to state revenue
21 sharing, correct?

22 A. One of the causes --

23 Q. Yes.

24 A. -- you're asking? I would say for the
25 City of Detroit, reductions to state revenue

1 wanted them just to do one more check.

2 Q. And was the City of Flint put under an
3 emergency manager as a result of fiscal distress?

4 A. They have been under emergency financial

5 management, yes.

6 Q. And do you know what actions the emergency
7 manager has taken to alleviate financial distress?

8 A. I do not.

9 Q. Do you know if Flint has improved its
10 fiscal situation at all?

11 A. I do not.

12 Q. Do you know -- as far as you know, did the
13 City of Flint ever consider going into Chapter 9 as
14 a result of fiscal distress?

15 A. I don't know.

16 Q. You're not aware of that ever coming up?

17 A. I don't know if they've ever talked about
18 it.

19 Q. During your interactions with the City of
20 Flint, though, they never suggested to you that
21 they would go into Chapter 9 to alleviate fiscal
22 distress, correct?

23 A. So during my conversations with the City,
24 we never talked about whether or not they would go
25 into bankruptcy.

1 Q. And why was the State of Michigan having
 2 the City look at its revenues again?

3 MR. STEWART: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

5 BY MR. SMITH:

6 Q. Do you know if the city of -- City of
 7 Flint cut costs and services in order to address
 8 fiscal distress?

9 A. I don't know.

10 Q. Do you know if -- I mean, you were doing
 11 the revenue forecasting, I guess. Do you know if
 12 the City of Flint raised taxes in order to address
 13 its fiscal distress?

14 A. So I was doing forecasting, so in terms
 15 of -- I don't know what they had done in the past.
 16 Going forward, we looked at the various taxes and
 17 whether or not things were going to expire at
 18 certain times, so it was all under current law,
 19 things that had been planned so...

20 Q. What kind of things did the City of Flint
 21 plan in terms of taxes for raising revenue?

22 A. They -- so I looked at their property and
 23 income taxes and state revenue sharing. They had
 24 certain millages that were going to expire at
 25 certain times, and so we just took that into

1 Detroit bankruptcy?

2 A. No.

3 Q. The -- did the City of Flint receive,
 4 other than the revenue sharing, any special
 5 assistance from the State in terms of monetary
 6 payments?

7 A. I don't know.

8 Q. Before the City of Detroit matter, though,
 9 had you ever forecast state revenue sharing
 10 payments?

11 A. Before the Detroit matter, no.

12 Q. In Flint, are there -- other than -- one
 13 difference between your projections in the City of
 14 Flint and the City of Detroit matter is the length
 15 of time over which the projection occurs, correct?

16 A. There were different time periods that I
 17 was asked to look at, yes.

18 Q. And you were asked to look at a shorter
 19 period for Flint, Michigan, correct?

20 A. I was asked to look at five years.

21 Q. Which is shorter than --

22 A. Which is shorter than 10, yes.

23 Q. Are there other differences in your
 24 methodology for the Flint projections compared to
 25 Detroit?

1 account in our forecast, made sure we were
 2 following what was the current law.

3 Q. Were there increases in taxes that were
 4 planned or increases in tax revenues that you
 5 incorporated into your Flint revenue --

6 A. So anything that was in current law we
 7 incorporated.

8 Q. And what would that include?

9 A. Off the top of my head, I can't remember.

10 Q. When did you do the analysis for Flint?

11 A. I did it in February of 2014.

12 Q. You mentioned that you can talk about this
 13 because it's public, correct?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. Are there nonpublic engagements that
 16 Ernst & Young has been engaged for for cities in
 17 Michigan?

18 A. Not that I'm aware of.

19 Q. Are there other nonpublic engagements that
 20 you've been engaged in by any cities?

21 A. Nonpublic engagements, yes.

22 Q. How many of those are there?

23 A. I can't recall at the moment off the top
 24 of my head.

25 Q. Do those have anything to do with the

1 A. No.

2 Q. Were you asked to look at anything other
 3 than property tax and state revenue sharing, or did
 4 you do other sources of revenue?

5 A. We looked at other sources of revenue.

6 Q. Okay. What other sources?

7 A. So income taxes.

8 Q. Anything else?

9 A. So what I was responsible for was property
 10 and revenue sharing, so those are the ones that I'm
 11 comfortable talking about.

12 Q. I mean, do you know if there were other
 13 revenue sources?

14 A. There are other revenue sources, yes.

15 Q. What were the other revenue sources for
 16 Flint?

17 A. So those were the ones that I was not
 18 personally involved in forecasting.

19 Q. Well, I'm just wondering what they were,
 20 not the details necessarily.

21 A. Just like any city, they would have
 22 certain grants or other things, but that was not
 23 what I looked at.

24 Q. So one significant source of revenue for a
 25 city is grants from either the federal government

1 or the state government, correct?
 2 MR. STEWART: Just a second. Objection.
 3 BY MR. SMITH:
 4 Q. Now you can answer.
 5 MR. STEWART: You can answer the question.
 6 THE WITNESS: I guess I don't know what the
 7 question is.
 8 BY MR. SMITH:
 9 Q. One significant source of revenue for a
 10 city is grants from the federal government or state
 11 government, correct?
 12 A. I guess it depends on the city and what
 13 you mean by significant.
 14 Q. For the City of Detroit, grant money from
 15 the state and federal governments is a significant
 16 source of funds, correct?
 17 A. I don't know.
 18 Q. You would agree that property tax revenue
 19 is a significant sort of revenue for Detroit,
 20 correct?
 21 A. What do you mean by significant?
 22 Q. Well, you've used the word significant
 23 before, right?
 24 A. I don't know. I don't think I have.
 25 Q. You're saying in your life, you've never

1 A. Expenditures for a city? No, I haven't.
 2 Q. Before -- before the Detroit matter, did
 3 you ever forecast property tax revenues?
 4 A. Yes.
 5 Q. What context did you do that?
 6 A. I would do it for clients related to
 7 certain projects, so for example, I would be
 8 retained in my old job to look at a new facility
 9 and then to forecast the property tax revenue from
 10 that.
 11 Q. Okay. But before the Detroit matter, you
 12 never forecasted the total property tax revenues a
 13 city received, did you?
 14 A. For -- what do you mean the total forecast
 15 for a city?
 16 Q. I mean you never forecasted the amount of
 17 property tax revenue a city would receive in total
 18 before your retention on the Detroit matter,
 19 correct?
 20 A. That's correct.
 21 Q. You've never been qualified as an expert
 22 by any court; is that correct?
 23 A. That's correct.
 24 Q. Have you ever been retained to do any
 25 expert work before in a litigation context?

1 used the word significant before?
 2 A. Well, I use it, but I don't know if I
 3 would use it in this context, so what do you mean
 4 by significant?
 5 Q. Okay. The property tax revenue would be
 6 one of the top revenue sources for the City of
 7 Detroit?
 8 A. So for the City of Detroit, when I look at
 9 the various tax components, property tax revenue
 10 makes up a good portion of the tax revenue that the
 11 City receives.
 12 Q. Do you know what the proportion is?
 13 A. So it's around 17 percent.
 14 Q. And what's the proportion of revenue for
 15 the city that the state revenue sharing makes up?
 16 A. Off the top of my head, I don't know.
 17 Q. Would it be fair that to say that state
 18 revenue sharing is one of the top revenue sources
 19 for the City of Detroit?
 20 A. State revenue sharing, when I look at the
 21 tax revenue plus the state revenue sharing, state
 22 revenue sharing is a good portion of that revenue,
 23 yes.
 24 Q. Have you ever forecasted expenditures for
 25 a city?

1 A. Yes.
 2 Q. And what context was that?
 3 A. Sorry. Let me clarify. Do you mean -- so
 4 in my old job, my boss had been an expert on a
 5 number of cases, so I would work on his cases. I
 6 was not the expert, though.
 7 Q. Okay. So you've done litigation
 8 consulting work before, but you weren't personally
 9 the expert, correct?
 10 A. That's correct.
 11 Q. When did you begin your work on the City
 12 of Detroit matter?
 13 A. I started work in May of 2013.
 14 Q. Have you ever forecasted municipal
 15 population levels before?
 16 A. For specific projects in my old job, yes.
 17 Q. Have you ever forecasted -- have you ever
 18 done a forecast for municipal revenue sharing for
 19 the Detroit matter?
 20 A. No, I don't think so.
 21 Q. And have you ever forecasted what future
 22 property assessments would be in a city before the
 23 Detroit matter?
 24 A. So in this case, I forecasted taxable
 25 value, which obviously, has some relationship with

1 assessments, and this is the first time that I did
 2 that for a municipality, yes.
 3 Q. You're not a lawyer, correct?
 4 A. I am not.
 5 Q. And you're not holding yourself out as a
 6 legal expert?
 7 A. What do you mean by legal expert? I'm an
 8 expert in this case.
 9 Q. Okay. Are you offering any opinions on
 10 the law like as it relates to this case?
 11 MR. STEWART: Objection.
 12 THE WITNESS: I don't think I'm offering
 13 opinions on the law. I'm offering opinions on the
 14 two things that are in my report.
 15 BY MR. SMITH:
 16 Q. Okay. So other than what's in your
 17 report, you're not offering any expert opinions
 18 other than that, correct?
 19 A. That's correct.
 20 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that in your
 21 report, you're not -- or anywhere else, you're not
 22 trying to offer an opinion about interpreting the
 23 law, correct?
 24 A. I'm not offering an interpretation of the
 25 law.

1 Q. You didn't read Charles Moore's expert
 2 report, correct?
 3 A. I have not.
 4 Q. And do you know who he is?
 5 A. I do not.
 6 Q. Do you know --
 7 A. And I read Martha -- what's her last name?
 8 Q. Ms. Kopacz?
 9 A. Yes. Thank you.
 10 Q. You read her report?
 11 A. I did read her report.
 12 Q. And you know that Ms. Kopacz opines that
 13 the forecasts Ernst & Young had presented were
 14 subjective, correct?
 15 MR. STEWART: Objection.
 16 THE WITNESS: I do not recall reading that, no.
 17 BY MR. SMITH:
 18 Q. Do you recall her doing an analysis where
 19 she calculated the effect of a 1 percent increase
 20 in property tax collections?
 21 A. I did read her report where she did do the
 22 sensitivity analysis, yes.
 23 Q. She found that increasing property tax
 24 collections by 1 percent could lead to more than a
 25 \$20 million increase in revenue, correct?

1 Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any depositions
 2 in this case?
 3 A. Yes. No, I haven't. Sorry. I've
 4 reviewed expert reports. Sorry.
 5 Q. But no depositions?
 6 A. I have not reviewed depositions.
 7 Q. So you didn't review Mr. Evanko's
 8 deposition?
 9 A. I have not reviewed Mr. Evanko's
 10 deposition.
 11 Q. You know who Mr. Evanko is, though,
 12 correct?
 13 A. I do.
 14 Q. Who is Mr. Evanko?
 15 A. Gary Evanko --
 16 Q. Yes.
 17 A. -- is the city assessor for the City of
 18 Detroit.
 19 Q. Have you -- you mentioned you had reviewed
 20 expert reports. What expert reports have you
 21 reviewed?
 22 A. I have read Robert Cline's expert report
 23 and Gaurav Malhotra's.
 24 Q. Any other expert reports?
 25 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.

1 A. My recollection from her report is that if
 2 you assumed that -- if you were able to change the
 3 parameter by 1 percent, what would that mean over
 4 the long haul, and my recollection is over
 5 20 million in property tax revenue.
 6 Q. Has Ernst & Young done any sensitivity
 7 analysis on its forecast to understand what
 8 changing the inputs would mean in terms of revenues
 9 available to the city?
 10 A. Throughout the process, we would vary our
 11 assumptions, change our assumptions and see what
 12 the revenue impacts are.
 13 Q. And what assumptions did you change during
 14 the process?
 15 A. So for property taxes, we would change the
 16 important drivers, so whether it be taxable value
 17 or collection rates. Those are the key assumptions
 18 that we would change.
 19 Q. And did you increase or decrease taxable
 20 value over time, I mean, in changing the
 21 assumptions?
 22 A. Well, so do you have a -- I mean, both. I
 23 mean, there are times when we would say we would
 24 get a new piece of information, and we might adjust
 25 our growth rates, and sometimes they would raise

1 forecasting for the City, correct?
 2 A. I wouldn't say that, no.
 3 Q. Okay. Did Katie Ballard do any of the
 4 forecasting for the City?
 5 A. She did not.
 6 Q. Has Katie Ballard ever done forecasting
 7 for the City before?
 8 A. I don't know, so maybe I should say she
 9 did assist me on the Flint matter.
 10 Q. Would it be fair to say that you were
 11 relying on the expertise of the City of Detroit
 12 people that you talked to in formulating the
 13 assumptions for your forecast?
 14 A. I had conversations with the City, and I
 15 used the information that they gave me in forming
 16 my opinion.
 17 Q. Did you do any independent testing or
 18 analysis of the information that was provided to
 19 you by the City for your forecast?
 20 A. So what do you mean by independent
 21 testing?
 22 Q. Did you go back and test any of the data
 23 that the city provided you for your forecast to
 24 ensure it was accurate?
 25 A. I checked to make sure that the ad valorem

1 financial statements. They had been audited, so I
 2 didn't go back and check. They ran certain reports
 3 from their system. I didn't have access to those
 4 systems, so I took that information.
 5 Q. And other information you received from
 6 the City you didn't verify its accuracy?
 7 A. I don't think so.
 8 Q. I mean, basically on the conversations
 9 with the City officials that were used to formulate
 10 your assumptions, you didn't do anything to verify
 11 the accuracy of what the people at the City were
 12 telling you; is that fair?
 13 MR. STEWART: Objection.
 14 THE WITNESS: I don't think that's fair.
 15 BY MR. SMITH:
 16 Q. Were there instances, though, where people
 17 from the City gave you information verbally that
 18 you used in formulating your assumptions where you
 19 didn't go and try to verify the accuracy of the
 20 information?
 21 A. There were times when the City would give
 22 me -- would tell me something over the phone or
 23 what they planned to do, and there are times that I
 24 would have conversations with our ground -- our
 25 team on the ground in Detroit. I would talk to

1 taxable value information they gave me matched what
 2 was in the State Tax Commission reports, and that
 3 was the only check to make sure that those numbers
 4 matched.
 5 Q. Was there information that the city
 6 provided you that you didn't do independent testing
 7 for to ensure its accuracy?
 8 A. I did not verify every piece of
 9 information, go back and see if I thought it was
 10 accurate. I did not do that.
 11 Q. What were some of the pieces of
 12 information you didn't do any testing to verify its
 13 accuracy?
 14 A. So for the most recent tax bills, the ad
 15 valorem data. I took that information they gave me
 16 since it was certified and used it.
 17 Q. Anything else?
 18 A. I had conversations with the City about
 19 when they were planning to hire consultants to do
 20 the reappraisal study. I did not go back and check
 21 to see when a contract was filed or things like
 22 that.
 23 Q. Other information that you relied on from
 24 the City you didn't verify its accuracy?
 25 A. I took information from their audited

1 multiple people about what was going on, talk to
 2 the mayor. So there were times where I was able to
 3 make sure the information was consistent, and there
 4 were other times where they would run a report, and
 5 they'd give it to me, and I accepted it.
 6 Q. So generally when the City would run a
 7 report from their system to which you didn't have
 8 access, you wouldn't do anything to verify the
 9 accuracy of that report, correct?
 10 A. Sometimes I was able to go back and look
 11 to see if, like I said, the taxable value matched
 12 what was being reported to the state or available
 13 in other systems or would show up in a budget book
 14 or an audited financial statement. So there are
 15 times I was able to check to make sure the numbers
 16 were consistent, and then there were times where I
 17 had requested collection rate information by
 18 property type that they had to run a report for me.
 19 I talked to the person, made sure I understood it,
 20 made sure numbers added up, and then I would accept
 21 it.
 22 Q. You didn't verify the accuracy of the
 23 collection rate information, though, that you have
 24 you were given by the City, correct?
 25 A. If you mean by -- what do you mean by

1 verify?

2 Q. You didn't go back to actually test and
3 verify the accuracy of the collection rate
4 information that was given to you by the City,
5 correct?

6 A. I was not able to go and, say, run the
7 report myself or look at their base data.

8 Q. When you began work on this case, was
9 there already some sort of model in place for
10 forecasting property tax or state revenue sharing
11 revenue?

12 A. There was a model in place for property
13 taxes.

14 Q. Do you know who created that model?

15 A. I do not know.

16 Q. Did you look at the experience of any
17 other cities in developing your forecast?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Do you know what cities would be
20 comparable to Detroit in terms of their financial
21 situation?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Did you rely on data provided by the
24 assessor's office in formulating your opinions?

25 A. I did receive data from the assessor's

1 the mechanism or methodology used in assessing
2 property taxes?

3 A. I don't know what the city assessor's
4 office was doing to assess property. I don't know.

5 Q. Can you explain the methodology used by
6 the City in collecting property taxes?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Can you explain to me the methodology the
9 city used in setting property tax rates?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Can you explain the methodology the State
12 used in setting the revenue sharing levels?

13 A. So there are two parts of revenue sharing.
14 So there's the constitutional portion, which has a
15 formula, and then there's the EVIP portion, the
16 Economic Vitality Incentive Program. And in terms
17 of how exactly they decide what they're going to
18 allocate cities, villages or townships, I don't
19 know the formula for that.

20 Q. Okay. So it would be fair to say that you
21 don't know the formula or methodology used in
22 setting the statutory portion of the revenue
23 sharing; is that correct?

24 A. I wouldn't say that. The EVIP portion
25 doesn't have a formula, and so it would be

1 office.

2 Q. And you relied on that in formulating your
3 opinions?

4 A. I did rely on some of that data.

5 Q. Did you work with Shavi Sarna at all?

6 A. I did.

7 Q. What was your interaction with that
8 individual?

9 A. Shavi and I would have conversations. We
10 would exchange e-mails. He would ask questions.

11 Q. Do you know what fees the City collects?

12 A. What do you mean by fees?

13 Q. Well, you know the City of Detroit charges
14 fees for various services, correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Do you have any idea what fees the City of
17 Detroit collects?

18 A. I don't.

19 Q. Do you have any idea what licensing
20 revenues the City gets?

21 A. I don't.

22 Q. Do you have any idea what the mechanisms
23 for property tax assessment are in the City?

24 A. What do you mean by mechanisms?

25 Q. I mean, can you -- are you able to explain

1 inaccurate to say I don't know the formula because
2 there isn't a formula.

3 Q. Let me re-ask my question then.

4 Would it be fair to say you don't know the
5 methodology used in setting the EVIP portion of the
6 state revenue sharing?

7 A. I personally don't know why legislators
8 decide to allocate a certain amount of money to
9 Detroit. There is a -- there are three components
10 to EVIP. There's supposed to be -- they're
11 supposed to meet certain things in order to get the
12 revenue, but what the legislature decides year to
13 year to allocate is their discretion, so...

14 Q. Basically the amount of revenue sharing,
15 would you agree, is a discretionary political
16 decision by the legislature?

17 A. For EVIP, it is the discretion of the
18 legislature.

19 Q. And it's a political decision. The amount
20 of money that the legislature decides to give to
21 cities is decided by people who are elected and
22 make a political decision about how much money to
23 give, correct?

24 A. People who are elected make that decision.

25 Q. And the decision about how much money the

1 City gets in state revenue sharing is a decision
 2 that's made in the political process, correct?
 3 A. I wouldn't say that because there are two
 4 components.
 5 Q. The EVIP portion of the state revenue
 6 sharing is generated by political process, correct?
 7 A. In that the legislature and the
 8 legislature is part of the political process, yes.
 9 Q. And the EVIP portion is the largest
 10 portion of the state revenue sharing, correct?
 11 A. For the City of Detroit?
 12 Q. Yes, for the City of Detroit.
 13 A. That's correct.
 14 Q. In your view, what are the biggest sources
 15 of untapped revenue for the City of Detroit?
 16 A. I don't have an opinion on that.
 17 Q. Do you have an opinion about how the City
 18 of Detroit could increase property tax revenues?
 19 A. I do not.
 20 Q. The City of Detroit has never asked you or
 21 anyone else at Ernst & Young to use your expertise
 22 to increase property tax revenues for them,
 23 correct?
 24 A. Correct. We don't do specific tax policy
 25 recommendations.

1 auditing services, accounting services. We do,
 2 obviously, tax advisory. We prepare tax
 3 statements. Our business is not to consult in the
 4 policy realm in this way. And so I didn't make
 5 those decisions, but that's what I follow.
 6 Q. Okay. So Ernst & Young is not in the
 7 business of offering tax policy advice to
 8 municipalities, correct?
 9 A. So the work that I do, I do not provide
 10 specific policy recommendations. I don't know if
 11 other parts of EY offer, but I know as a whole, we
 12 don't make, say, specific tax policy
 13 recommendations.
 14 Q. In the past, have you made tax policy
 15 recommendations to government in your other jobs?
 16 A. In my other job, I would do the analysis
 17 around a policy change, and so I would provide my
 18 opinion sometimes about the change.
 19 Q. I mean, you know that other cities have
 20 increased taxes to address fiscal distress to raise
 21 revenue, correct?
 22 A. Some cities have done that, yes.
 23 Q. And you're aware that cities have cut
 24 services in order to address fiscal distress and
 25 improve their fiscal situation?

1 Q. Okay. So you're offering no opinion about
 2 whether the City can increase tax revenues,
 3 correct?
 4 A. I'm not offering an opinion about whether
 5 they can increase tax revenues.
 6 Q. And you're not offering an opinion about
 7 whether the City can pay the creditors more money
 8 in the bankruptcy, correct?
 9 A. I'm not offering an opinion on that.
 10 Q. And you're not offering an opinion about
 11 how much revenue the City would have if the
 12 bankruptcy case is dismissed, correct?
 13 A. That's correct.
 14 Q. I mean -- and in fact, Ernst & Young's
 15 policy would prohibit you from offering opinions
 16 about how much -- whether the City can generate
 17 more tax revenue or increase tax rates or do other
 18 things like that, correct?
 19 A. So Ernst & Young would not want us to make
 20 specific recommendations on tax policy the City of
 21 Detroit should pursue. We just do the analysis.
 22 Q. And why doesn't Ernst & Young allow its
 23 staff to make recommendations about tax policy like
 24 that?
 25 A. So the bulk of our business is providing

1 A. Some cities have done that, yes.
 2 Q. And you know that cities have added new
 3 fees for services in order to raise revenue to
 4 address fiscal distress, correct?
 5 A. I don't know anything specifically.
 6 Q. Do you know that other cities have imposed
 7 new taxes to raise revenue for -- to address fiscal
 8 distress?
 9 A. That could be possible. I don't know of
 10 any specific instance.
 11 Q. Do you know generally that there are a
 12 number of cities in the country now because of the
 13 recession we've had that are experiencing fiscal
 14 distress?
 15 A. Yes, I'm aware of cities experiencing
 16 fiscal distress.
 17 Q. In fact, you've worked for at least one
 18 other city that's experiencing fiscal distress in
 19 the state of Michigan, right?
 20 A. That's right.
 21 Q. And you know in the state of Michigan,
 22 there are multiple cities that are under the
 23 supervision of emergency managers because of fiscal
 24 distress, correct?
 25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And what are the causes of these cities in
 2 Michigan having to be under the supervision of
 3 emergency managers and being in physical distress?

4 MR. STEWART: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: I don't know the specifics for
 6 other cities.

7 MR. ALBERTS: Objection. I think you mean
 8 fiscal.

9 MR. SMITH: Yes, fiscal.

10 BY MR. SMITH:

11 Q. You know that declines in state revenue
 12 sharing have -- in Michigan have adversely impacted
 13 the fiscal situation of multiple cities, correct?

14 A. I can't talk about other cities.

15 Q. Do you know whether the City of Flint
 16 undertook efforts to try to get the state
 17 legislature to increase state revenue sharing?

18 A. I don't know.

19 Q. Do you have any opinion about the sources
 20 of untapped cost savings for the City?

21 A. I don't.

22 Q. Does Ernst & Young ever do any kind of
 23 evaluation of cities to determine how they can
 24 increase revenues?

25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. What percent of your time is spent on the
 2 Detroit matter?

3 A. What percent of my daily just sort of work
 4 time?

5 Q. Your billable time.

6 A. My billable time? So I've been working on
 7 this for a year or a little over a year. My
 8 billable time might be around 50 percent.

9 Q. Do you know what proportion of your --
 10 what proportion of Katie Ballard's billable time is
 11 spent on the City of Detroit?

12 A. I have no idea.

13 Q. Can you identify any other matters other
 14 than the City of Detroit that Katie Ballard works
 15 on?

16 A. What do you mean matter? Do you just mean
 17 projects or --

18 Q. Yeah.

19 A. Sure. She works on our economic impact
 20 studies for private clients, basically, you know,
 21 just some of our thought leadership pieces. And
 22 she also worked on our council on state taxation
 23 business tax burden study.

24 Q. Have you had any interaction with anyone
 25 from Conway MacKenzie?

1 Q. Is the only work that you've done for the
 2 City of Detroit the work leading to your expert
 3 opinions in this case?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Do you know whether there are any formal
 6 studies that have been conducted to ascertain
 7 whether Detroit can raise taxes or increase
 8 revenue?

9 A. I don't know.

10 Q. Do you know whether there are any formal
 11 studies that have been conducted to determine
 12 whether Detroit can cut costs more than half?

13 A. I don't know.

14 Q. Do you know of any formal studies -- can
 15 you identify any studies on Detroit property taxes
 16 or revenue sharing?

17 A. Do I know of any formal studies on Detroit
 18 property taxes or revenue sharing? Other than what
 19 the CRC report you mentioned earlier?

20 Q. Yes.

21 A. No.

22 Q. How many people assisted you in preparing
 23 your forecast?

24 A. So I did the work and the analysis. I had
 25 discussions with Bob Cline, Katie Ballard.

1 A. I've been on phone calls where Conway &
 2 MacKenzie have been on the line.

3 Q. Do you have an understanding of Conway &
 4 MacKenzie's role in this matter?

5 A. I understand a little bit, and I've
 6 occasionally received things they prepared.

7 Q. Like what kind of things?

8 A. So when they put together some of the
 9 reinvestment initiatives, I would see a copy of it.

10 Q. And do you have any understanding of
 11 Miller Buckfire's role in this case?

12 A. I've interacted with lawyers from Miller
 13 Buckfire. I seen them as a coordinating role. I
 14 don't know any more what they're doing.

15 Q. I mean, when you say coordinating role,
 16 what exactly have you done with them?

17 A. So Kyle Herman, who works for Miller
 18 Buckfire, he would coordinate collection of
 19 materials. He would organize calls with the
 20 creditors, things like that.

21 Q. Would it be fair to say that there are
 22 people who have contributed information to your
 23 forecasts that you don't know who they are and
 24 you've never met?

25 A. No.

1 information at all? We can just let the forecasts
 2 sit there, and no matter what changes, it's okay,
 3 right?

4 MR. STEWART: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: I don't -- what's the question?

6 BY MR. SMITH:

7 Q. If you don't incorporate new information
 8 as it becomes available, a forecast can become
 9 inaccurate or unreliable, correct?

10 A. No, I wouldn't say that.

11 Q. Okay. So if the property tax were
 12 increased by 100 percent, your opinion is you
 13 wouldn't have to update your forecast?

14 A. So new information can sometimes confirm
 15 what you've already put down, so that's why.

16 Q. And new information can also change your
 17 forecast, right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And so it's possible that new information,
 20 if you don't incorporate it, your forecasts will be
 21 inaccurate or reliable. That's possible, correct?

22 A. So it depends on the situation. New
 23 information can improve the accuracy of the
 24 forecast. New information can confirm it.

25 Q. And in the hall, Mr. Stewart had his

1 of the assessor's office conducted by Plante Moran?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Were you aware that the assessor's
 4 office -- there have been a number of problems
 5 identified in the assessor's office?

6 A. I have a general sense that people have
 7 said there are problems.

8 Q. What problems are you aware of in the City
 9 of Detroit Assessor Office?

10 A. The only problem that people have talked
 11 about has been overassessments.

12 Q. That's the only problem that you're aware
 13 of in the assessor's office is overassessments?

14 A. That's the only problem that people have
 15 talked to me about.

16 Q. Would it be fair to say that you haven't
 17 cited any scientific literature or anything like
 18 that in performing your forecasting?

19 A. What do you mean by scientific?

20 Q. Well, there's no literature of any kind
 21 that you've cited as the basis for your forecast,
 22 correct?

23 A. So as I noted in my report, I followed the
 24 procedures laid out by the revenue -- the State of
 25 Michigan's Consensus Revenue forecasting, and

1 finger in your face, didn't he, during the break?

2 MR. STEWART: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: No.

4 BY MR. SMITH:

5 Q. Did you have a conversation with
 6 Mr. Stewart during the break?

7 A. I did.

8 Q. What was he telling you?

9 MR. STEWART: Objection. It's privileged. You
 10 know that.

11 MR. SMITH: You're directing her not to answer
 12 that?

13 MR. STEWART: Yes, that's right. Do you want
 14 me to start asking what you talk to your clients
 15 about? Do you want to waive it? Would you like
 16 to? We can have a mutual waiver across the board.
 17 I'd like to learn what you're telling Syncora.

18 BY MR. SMITH:

19 Q. How long did you talk to Mr. Stewart for?

20 A. Maybe 30 seconds.

21 Q. The -- do you know whether the assessor's
 22 office has been subject to any reviews by outside
 23 consultants?

24 A. I don't know.

25 Q. So you haven't been provided with reviews

1 that's in report that I think was produced.

2 Q. Have you run any runs of your forecasts
 3 that had higher revenues available to the City?

4 A. I guess I don't understand your question.

5 Q. Have you done any runs of your forecast
 6 where you generated revenues that are higher than
 7 what you're forecasting currently for the City?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And what kind of runs?

10 A. So as you've noted, we've updated our
 11 analysis, our forecasts several times, and so
 12 previous earlier iterations had slightly higher
 13 property taxes.

14 Q. And are there runs that you haven't
 15 submitted to the creditors or others that have
 16 included higher revenues than you're forecasting?

17 A. Everything we've done has been turned
 18 over, so the creditors would have access.

19 Q. Do you agree that you've used your
 20 discretion in selecting the specific values for the
 21 assumptions in your model?

22 A. I've used my judgment in selecting the
 23 assumptions.

24 Q. Do you agree that in conducting a tax
 25 forecast, you should seek to gather all evidence

1 reasonably related to the forecast?
 2 A. What's the question?
 3 Q. Do you agree in conducting a tax forecast,
 4 you should collect all information reasonably
 5 related to the forecast?
 6 A. So I think you need to make sure you take
 7 in relevant information in doing the forecast.
 8 Q. And you should endeavor to collect all
 9 relevant information in doing a forecast, correct?
 10 A. So it depends on what you mean by
 11 relevant.
 12 Q. Have you ever used the word relevant
 13 before?
 14 A. I have.
 15 Q. Okay. Using your definition of relevant,
 16 do you agree that you should endeavor to collect
 17 all relevant information in doing a forecast?
 18 A. I did endeavor to collect relevant
 19 information for the forecast.
 20 Q. That's not my question. My question is do
 21 you agree that in conducting a forecast, you should
 22 endeavor to collect all relevant information?
 23 A. I would say in conducting a forecast, you
 24 should collect information that's pertinent and
 25 related.

1 the context of forecasting?
 2 A. I guess I don't know what you mean by
 3 stress test.
 4 Q. Do you know what length of time is the
 5 standard length the City uses for its forecasts?
 6 A. I don't know anything about the City's
 7 forecasting.
 8 Q. Have you ever looked at the consensus
 9 forecast for the City?
 10 A. I did receive one piece of information
 11 that had their consensus revenue estimates for the
 12 next fiscal year.
 13 Q. And do you know how many years they looked
 14 at?
 15 A. I can't remember off the top of my head.
 16 Q. Have you ever done a tax forecast for as
 17 long as 10 years?
 18 A. I'm not sure.
 19 Q. Have you ever -- you haven't done a
 20 revenue sharing forecast for as long as 10 years,
 21 correct?
 22 A. I do not think I have.
 23 Q. What are some of the factors that can
 24 occur over the next 10 years that could affect the
 25 actual values for property taxes or revenue

1 Q. And if you have incomplete information,
 2 your forecast can be inaccurate, correct?
 3 A. Not necessarily, no.
 4 Q. But it can be inaccurate if you have
 5 incomplete information, correct?
 6 A. Incomplete information can make it -- it
 7 can go either way. It depends on the situation. I
 8 mean, the nature of forecasting, you're selecting
 9 data and assumptions. It's not complete, so
 10 there -- so yeah, I mean, there are situations and
 11 incomplete information could make it inaccurate, or
 12 it could not. It depends.
 13 Q. Have you done any stress testing on your
 14 forecast?
 15 MR. STEWART: Objection.
 16 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by stress tests?
 17 BY MR. SMITH:
 18 Q. Well, I've seen reference to stress tests
 19 that have been conducted on forecasts before. Do
 20 you know what that is?
 21 A. I have not done a stress test.
 22 Q. Have you ever done any kind of stress
 23 testing on forecasts before?
 24 A. What is stress test in this context?
 25 Q. So you don't know what a stress test is in

1 sharing?
 2 A. What do you mean by actual values?
 3 Q. The actual collections of property tax
 4 revenue and the actual amount of money that's
 5 received from revenue sharing.
 6 A. Sure. So population rate is important,
 7 employment, new economic activity. These are all
 8 things that are driving both the portion of state
 9 revenue sharing and property taxes.
 10 Q. If population increases, the revenue from
 11 property taxes and revenue sharing could increase,
 12 correct?
 13 A. It's possible.
 14 Q. And if employment increases, the revenue
 15 from property taxes and revenue sharing would
 16 increase?
 17 A. It's possible.
 18 Q. And if economic activity increases,
 19 revenue from revenue sharing and the property taxes
 20 would increase?
 21 A. It's possible.
 22 Q. And is that -- are those -- your model,
 23 though, do revenues increase if employment,
 24 population or economic activity increase?
 25 A. So in our model, if there is greater

1 economic activity, we have better property tax
2 revenues.

3 Q. If there's increased economic activity, do
4 you -- does your model generate increased revenue
5 sharing, or does it not take into account economic
6 activity for revenue sharing?

7 A. So revenue sharing, because it is based on
8 per-capita distribution on the constitutional side
9 and for EVIP, there's no formula. Economic
10 activity, it doesn't really affect it directly.

11 Q. There was no formula you used for
12 calculating the revenue sharing that you projected,
13 correct?

14 A. That's not true.

15 Q. Well, I mean, you were just saying no
16 formula. What did you mean?

17 A. There's no formula in how the legislature
18 allocates the EVIP portion.

19 Q. Does increasing population in your model
20 increase property tax revenues or revenue sharing?

21 A. So there's no direct link between a
22 one-for-one population increase causes property tax
23 increase. So there's no one-to-one relationship in
24 the model.

25 Q. Does your model take into account the

1 property or not.

2 Q. In your model, does increased employment
3 in the city among residents lead to an increase in
4 property tax revenue?

5 A. There's no direct relationship in the
6 model between employment and the tax bases.

7 Q. Okay. What is the relationship then in
8 the model?

9 A. Sure. So in the model, there are four
10 different tax bases, and so employment is something
11 that's important for -- I guess for all three, for
12 residential, commercial, industrial. So if you
13 have improved economic activity, improved
14 employment, we could see those tax bases grow, and
15 that could translate into more tax revenue, but if
16 everything else is equal. So there's other factors
17 that drive the model.

18 Q. Do you agree that increased employment
19 will lead to people purchasing more goods and
20 services in the city and an increase in sales tax
21 revenue?

22 MR. STEWART: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: Can you say that one more time,
24 your question?

25

1 effect of population on property tax increase at
2 all?

3 A. So population forecasts were used to
4 inform certain growth rates in the model.

5 Q. Okay. So an increasing population could
6 increase growth rates which would increase property
7 tax revenues in your model; is that correct?

8 A. That is fair.

9 Q. In your model, does an increase in
10 employment lead to an increase in property tax
11 revenue or to revenue sharing?

12 A. So an increase in employment doesn't
13 affect the revenue sharing in our forecast. And
14 employment, there's no sort of direct input for
15 employment on the property tax side. It would be
16 something that would help to inform a growth rate
17 of a tax base.

18 Q. Okay. So increased employment could
19 increase growth rates, which would increase
20 property tax revenues in your model, correct?

21 A. So it depends. Employment in Detroit
22 doesn't mean that someone is a property owner, and
23 so in that sense, we're concerned doing the
24 forecasting about the different tax bases. So
25 employment can mean that there is additional

1 BY MR. SMITH:

2 Q. Increased employment will lead to an
3 increase in people purchasing goods and services in
4 the city and an increase in sales tax revenue?

5 A. It's possible.

6 Q. Your model does not take into account
7 increased employment having any effect on revenue
8 sharing, correct?

9 A. That is correct.

10 Q. Can you identify any forecast comparable
11 to the Ernst & Young forecast that's been done for
12 Detroit over a 10-year period?

13 A. I have not seen any other forecast.

14 Q. Can you identify -- so you've never seen
15 any forecasts like that Ernst & Young has done for
16 Detroit in any Chapter 9 bankruptcy, correct?

17 A. I have not looked at any other Chapter 9
18 bankruptcies.

19 Q. Have you done any investigation to find
20 out what other forecasts have been done to model
21 property tax or state revenue sharing for a
22 municipality?

23 A. Have I -- what's your question?

24 Q. Have you done any investigation to look at
25 other forecasts for property tax revenue or revenue

1 sharing in a municipality?

2 A. I have not looked at other forecasts.

3 Q. Do you agree that the longer period of
4 time a forecast covers, the less reliable it
5 becomes?

6 A. No.

7 Q. So your point of view is that your 40-year
8 forecast is as reliable and likely to be accurate
9 as your 10-year forecast, correct?

10 A. My opinion is the -- we did a 10-year
11 forecast, and we did an extrapolation for 30 years,
12 and it could go either way. That's the nature. It
13 could be as accurate as the 10-year. I don't know
14 yet.

15 Q. There's no way to note -- no way to assess
16 the level of accuracy of your forecast, correct?

17 A. The way that you could assess the accuracy
18 is to compare the forecast with actual, and the
19 actual hasn't happened yet.

20 Q. So there's, in fact, no way to assess the
21 accuracy of your forecast, correct?

22 A. Right, except for comparing to actual, and
23 our actuals so far have come in pretty well
24 compared to the forecast.

25 Q. And how long has your forecast been in

1 A. So in Michigan, unpaid taxes at the
2 municipal level are turned to the county for
3 collection, and so the county will try to collect
4 on them, and then it will foreclose and has been
5 doing public auctions to sell the property. So the
6 model takes into account net payments from the
7 county, which would be then the county paying for
8 the taxes that these properties owe. And so that's
9 factored into the property tax collections in the
10 model.

11 Q. Do you know what percent of the owed taxes
12 your model predicts will be actually paid from
13 prior years?

14 A. Not in that way, no.

15 Q. Do you know what -- is there a collection
16 or a payment rate or anything like that that is
17 incorporated into your model for the past year's
18 owed taxes?

19 A. So the model includes a percent of the tax
20 levy that is assumed the City will receive from
21 Wayne County.

22 Q. Okay. And what number is that?

23 A. It depends on the year.

24 Q. How did you select that number?

25 A. So Wayne County provided their prior

1 existence?

2 A. We did the first iteration in June of
3 2013.

4 Q. You're aware that the City is failing to
5 collect approximately half of the property taxes
6 owed, correct?

7 A. I am aware, sorry, half of property taxes
8 on the residential.

9 Q. Okay. And are you aware that the City has
10 identified more than 100,000 properties where taxes
11 have not been paid in addition to the foreclosed
12 properties?

13 A. I don't know the number.

14 Q. Do you know that the City has identified
15 over \$504 million in unpaid property taxes that are
16 owed to it?

17 A. I don't know the amount.

18 Q. Your forecast doesn't take into account or
19 doesn't include any amounts for payment of property
20 taxes that are owed, but haven't gone collected
21 thus far, correct?

22 A. That's not correct.

23 Q. Okay. How does your forecast take into
24 account the property taxes that are owed to the
25 City from prior years, but haven't been collected?

1 year's net revolving fund payments, and so it
2 analyzed the trends and what had been happening and
3 had some conversations about what was happening
4 with the foreclosure process and then used judgment
5 to select what was going to happen in future years.

6 Q. Okay. So in terms of the amount of
7 collection that will occur with respect to amounts
8 already owed from prior years, you implemented
9 different assumptions for different years based on
10 your judgment; is that fair?

11 A. What's the question?

12 Q. For the amount of property tax that would
13 be collected from prior years that's still owed,
14 you implemented different assumptions for different
15 years based on your judgment?

16 A. So using my judgment, I selected payment
17 amount from Wayne County that was likely, and that
18 varied by year.

19 Q. Okay. And how did you go about using your
20 judgment to figure out how to vary the payment
21 amount by year?

22 A. So using data from the past, we're able to
23 see what was happening over the last -- I guess I
24 got data for eight or nine years is my
25 recollection, and I was able to see what had

1 Q. Were you aware that the majority of
 2 transactions that form the basis for valuations
 3 you've been provided were not arm's length
 4 transactions before you formulated your opinions?

5 A. I didn't know if it was the majority.

6 Q. Did you know the percentage at all of the
 7 transactions that were not arm's length that form
 8 the basis for the valuation you were provided?

9 A. I did not know what percentage were not
 10 arm's length transactions.

11 Q. Would it be fair to say you're not
 12 qualified to assess the reliability of the real
 13 estate valuations for property in Detroit that
 14 you've been provided?

15 A. I was not asked to assess the reliability
 16 of the assessments.

17 Q. Would you be qualified to assess the
 18 reliability of the assessments you've used in your
 19 forecast?

20 A. What do you mean qualified?

21 Q. I mean are you somebody who is qualified
 22 enough to be able to tell how reliable the
 23 assessment valuations that you've been given are,
 24 or does that require somebody with a different
 25 skill set?

1 Mistakes, Waste. Have you ever seen this article?
 2 A. I don't think so.
 3 Q. Have you seen any of the news articles
 4 that have been issued on the problems with Detroit
 5 property collections?

6 A. I have seen news articles on this issue.

7 Q. Okay. And the first sentence says the
 8 city's property tax system is riddled with errors
 9 and waste and is overseen by a pair of
 10 double-dipping officials who work just two days a
 11 week the Detroit news investigation has found. Do
 12 you see that?

13 A. Uh-huh.

14 Q. Were you aware of that information before
 15 you formulated your opinions?

16 A. Was I aware of this reporter's opinion
 17 that the City's tax system is riddled with errors
 18 and waste? What's the date on this? No.

19 Q. Were you aware of people who had come to
 20 the conclusion, though, that the Detroit property
 21 tax system is riddled with errors and waste before
 22 you formulated your opinions?

23 A. I don't know about waste or what the
 24 definition -- I, obviously, had conversations where
 25 people talked about the property being overassessed

1 A. So in the work that I did, I did some
 2 analysis of the assessments and came to my
 3 conclusion that they were overassessed. I did not
 4 systematically look at their transactions and their
 5 process of assessing.

6 Q. Okay. So one of the bases for your
 7 forecast is your determination that property is
 8 overassessed in the city, correct?

9 A. I do think property was overassessed, yes.

10 Q. You've never been trained in property
 11 assessment, correct?

12 A. I have not been trained to assess
 13 property.

14 Q. Do you know whether there's standards
 15 governing property assessment?

16 A. There are methods for assessing property,
 17 yes.

18 Q. And have you been trained in those methods?

19 A. Formally trained on those methods?

20 Q. Yes.

21 A. I have not.

22 (Document marked No. 2)

23 Q. Were you aware -- let me hand you what's
 24 been marked as Exhibit 2. It's a news article
 25 entitled Detroit's Property System Plagued By

1 or problems with the system, but no specifics were
 2 told to me.

3 Q. What problems with the Detroit property
 4 tax system were you told of?

5 A. These are just general recollections, but
 6 problems with how property was assessed leading to
 7 overassessments and property collection issues, but
 8 these type of issues relating to the logistical
 9 collection are not things that we dealt with in our
 10 forecast.

11 Q. Were you aware that Ernst & Young had done
 12 its own review of the property tax system in
 13 Detroit?

14 A. What do you mean by review?

15 Q. Well, you see there's a section in that
 16 article entitled hardest job in the state?

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. And you see in the first paragraph it
 19 talks about a Plante Moran review, correct?

20 A. Yeah.

21 Q. And the second paragraph, it says one
 22 review by Ernst & Young concluded the two
 23 departments have a prevailing culture which is
 24 riddled with bureaucracy and a lack of
 25 accountability. Do you see that?

1 A. Okay.

2 Q. Were you aware that Ernst & Young had
3 conducted a review of the property collection
4 processes in Detroit before you worked on your
5 opinions?

6 A. No.

7 Q. And nobody has provided you with a copy of
8 the report in which Ernst & Young concluded that
9 departments in the city relating to property taxes
10 have a prevailing culture which is riddled with
11 bureaucracy and a lack of accountability? Do you
12 see that?

13 A. Uh-huh. I did not receive a report that
14 Ernst & Young had done.

15 Q. And you're not in a position to dispute
16 Ernst & Young's conclusions that the City's
17 departments charged with property tax collection
18 have a prevailing culture which is riddled with
19 bureaucracy and a lack of accountability, correct?

20 MR. STEWART: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: I didn't work on this report, and
22 I've not seen it, so I can't comment on it.

23 BY MR. SMITH:

24 Q. Were you even told who at Ernst & Young
25 might have done reviews of the City's property tax

1 something that you've seen?

2 A. I may have seen it. I'm not recalling
3 whether I read this or not.

4 Q. Going back to the -- were you aware that
5 property tax bills were frequently sent to the
6 wrong address in Detroit?

7 A. No, I wasn't aware.

8 Q. Were you aware that homeowners exemptions
9 have been granted to people without proof of
10 eligibility in Detroit?

11 A. No, I wasn't aware.

12 Q. Were you aware that the City is going to
13 undertake a review because it believes that there
14 may be people that are improperly taking homeowners
15 exemptions?

16 A. I was not aware of that.

17 Q. Are you aware that the City has begun
18 implementing reforms of its property tax collection
19 system to improve revenues?

20 A. I've had some conversations that note that
21 they've done some -- they're working on that. I
22 don't know any specifics.

23 Q. Who have you had those conversations with?

24 A. Just conversations with the Detroit team,
25 the EY Detroit team, so I don't remember who

1 collection processes?

2 A. I know the team in Detroit, but I don't
3 know who did this study.

4 Q. Okay. So the team in Detroit you've been
5 working with daily never informed you that they had
6 done a review of the City's property tax
7 collections and found that it was riddled with
8 bureaucracy and had a lack of accountability, correct?
9 (Document marked No. 3 and No. 4)

10 A. We never had a specific conversation about
11 a report that reached those findings, no.

12 Q. Let me hand you what's been marked as
13 Exhibit 3. I'm just wondering if you've ever seen
14 this document before?

15 A. No.

16 MR. STEWART: Before you testify about it --

17 MR. SMITH: I don't have any questions about it
18 other than whether she's seen it.

19 THE WITNESS: No, I haven't seen it.

20 BY MR. SMITH:

21 Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been
22 marked as Exhibit 4, which is a Detroit news
23 article entitled Half of Detroit Property Owners
24 Don't Pay Taxes, and you can let me know if you've
25 ever seen this news article before. Is that

1 specifically, though.

2 Q. Do you know what an equalization factor
3 is?

4 A. I do.

5 Q. And you know that an equalization factor
6 of 1 means that property is properly assessed in
7 the view of the county, correct?

8 A. It means that the county believes property
9 has not systematically been over or underassessed.

10 Q. And in fact, Detroit has always received a
11 value of 1 meaning that property is not over or
12 underassessed, correct?

13 A. I wouldn't reach that conclusion. The
14 county has given them an equalization factor of 1,
15 and so the processes that they're following have
16 given them an equalization factor of 1. That
17 doesn't mean that in reality or by other measures,
18 the property is not over or underassessed.

19 Q. You're aware that the county has always
20 given Detroit an equalization factor of 1, correct?

21 A. I don't know always. I know when I looked
22 at the last 10 years, they've received an
23 equalization factor of 1.

24 Q. You're not aware of any instance where the
25 City of Detroit didn't receive an equalization

1 A. I'm going to testify at the confirmation
2 hearing for this matter, yes.

3 Q. And before the confirmation hearing,
4 wouldn't you want to know if Mr. Evanko had
5 characterized -- had criticisms of your forecast?

6 A. I would like to know.

7 Q. And why would you like to know that?

8 A. I would find it surprising.

9 Q. You agree that equalization factor of 1
10 means the county has determined that property is
11 being properly assessed and not overassessed or
12 underassessed, correct?

13 A. Equalization factor means that the county
14 believes the process has not systematically over or
15 underassessed property.

16 Q. And currently you understand that the
17 county is giving Detroit an equalization factor of
18 1, correct?

19 A. The county is giving Detroit an
20 equalization factor of 1.

21 Q. And it would be unlawful for the City to
22 assess property in any way that was inconsistent
23 with what the county was saying, correct?

24 MR. STEWART: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: I don't understand that question.

1 BY MR. SMITH:

2 Q. So property taxes would be changed on the
3 properties if the equalization factor were
4 different than 1, correct?

5 A. Property taxes are based on taxable value,
6 and state -- you're talking about the state
7 equalized value, which is a different concept.

8 Q. Do you -- would it be fair to say that
9 your opinion that Detroit property is overassessed
10 is inconsistent with the determination of the
11 county?

12 A. I don't know inconsistent. The county has
13 their process by which they review and they assign
14 an equalization factor. And using their rules,
15 they've come up with their opinions. And I've
16 looked at it differently, and I come up with my own
17 opinion that it's overassessed.

18 Q. Okay. So the methodology you used for
19 determining assessments whether properties are
20 properly assessed in the city is different than the
21 county's methodology, correct?

22 A. It could be. I don't know.

23 Q. You don't know what methodology the county
24 uses, correct?

25 A. I don't know specifically what they looked

1 BY MR. SMITH:

2 Q. It would be unlawful for the City of
3 Detroit to assess property in any way that was
4 inconsistent with the way the county assessed
5 property, correct?

6 MR. STEWART: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I don't know. There are rules
8 governing how property must be assessed, and so
9 municipalities have to follow those, and the county
10 has to follow their procedures as well.

11 BY MR. SMITH:

12 Q. And if the county assessed an equalization
13 factor different than 1, Detroit would have to
14 change its assessments, correct?

15 A. The equalization factor would be applied
16 to what the City had assessed, and it would be
17 modified in those ways. In that way.

18 Q. Okay. So it would -- if the county
19 implemented a different equalization factor other
20 than 1, then as a matter of law, the assessments
21 would be changed, correct?

22 MR. STEWART: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: I don't know if the assessments
24 would be changed. The assessment factor is
25 multiplied by what the City produces.

1 at in determining the equalization factor.

2 Q. The county's conclusion that property is
3 properly assessed, though, is inconsistent with
4 your conclusion that it's overassessed, correct?

5 A. The county in using the equalization
6 factor has said that it's not under or
7 overassessed, and my conclusion is that it's
8 overassessed.

9 Q. So you've come to inconsistent conclusions
10 with the county, correct?

11 A. My opinion is different than the county's.

12 Q. The planned reassessment, do you know who
13 is going to be conducting that?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Do you know what method will be used for
16 the planned reassessment?

17 A. I understand generally how they go about
18 reassessing.

19 Q. But do you know -- I mean, do you know
20 what reassessment methodology the unidentified
21 contractor who is doing the reassessment is going
22 to employ?

23 A. I do not know specifically what they're
24 going to do.

25 Q. Do you know how long the planned

1 reassessment is going to take?

2 A. I was told it would take three to five
3 years.

4 Q. Who told you that?

5 A. Alvin Horhn.

6 Q. Is that somebody at the City?

7 A. It is.

8 Q. And do you know when the planned
9 reassessment will begin?

10 A. Initially I was told they wanted to have a
11 contract in place by March 2014. The last time I
12 checked to see if a contract was in place I was
13 told they were working on that, or they thought
14 they were going to have it done this month.

15 Q. Okay. So so far there's no contract, as
16 far as you're aware, that has been written for the
17 reassessment?

18 A. I don't know if a contract is in place.

19 Q. And have you also been told that the
20 reassessment could take longer than five years?

21 A. I have not been told that.

22 Q. Do you know when -- do you know whether
23 it's possible that the reassessment may not occur?

24 A. I don't know anything about the contract.

25 Q. Would it be fair to say that you just

1 let me ask you this. Would you want to know if
2 Mr. Evanko determined that your forecast of the
3 personal property tax was ridiculous?

4 A. Would I want to know that? That, again,
5 would be surprising.

6 Q. Is that something you would want to know?

7 A. It would be something I would be
8 interested in, sure.

9 Q. Did you ever submit your forecast to
10 anyone at the City to solicit their opinion after
11 you were done about whether your forecast was
12 reasonable?

13 A. We discussed sending the forecast to the
14 City back when we started a year ago, and I don't
15 believe we ever did that. They did review our
16 forecast. I had a conversation in January with
17 Gary Evanko, and he had seen what we put together
18 is my recollection, so we had conversations about
19 the forecast for sure.

20 Q. So Mr. Evanko's familiar with details of
21 your forecast, correct?

22 MR. STEWART: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: I don't know if he's familiar
24 with the details. I couldn't say for him.

25

1 can't provide us with any specifics about what the
2 planned reassessment is going to entail one way or
3 the other?

4 A. I don't know the specifics about the
5 reassessment. I know generally what they're going
6 to do.

7 Q. Has anybody informed you regarding
8 Mr. Evanko's opinion that the reassessment -- he
9 doesn't know whether it's going to increase or
10 decrease property values?

11 MR. STEWART: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I have not been told what
13 Mr. Evanko said during his deposition about that
14 matter.

15 BY MR. SMITH:

16 Q. Wouldn't you want to know if Mr. Evanko
17 said that he couldn't say that the property
18 reassessment would result in lower property values?

19 A. What's the question?

20 Q. Wouldn't you want to know if Mr. Evanko's
21 opinion was that the property values would not
22 necessarily change under the planned reassessment?

23 A. I guess I would want to know that. That
24 would be surprising.

25 Q. Would it be surprising to you if -- well,

1 BY MR. SMITH:

2 Q. You didn't -- the current forecast,
3 though, the one that's been completed in July, you
4 never resubmitted that to the assessor's office to
5 determine if they thought that it was reliable and
6 accurate, correct?

7 A. I did not turn over the July forecast to
8 the City. I did not provide it to them.

9 Q. Do you agree there are -- well, we've
10 talked about how there are a lot of people that are
11 in Detroit that are delinquent on their property
12 taxes. Do you recall that?

13 MR. STEWART: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: We talked about -- you showed me
15 some articles about that.

16 BY MR. SMITH:

17 Q. And you agree there are many reasons that
18 people don't pay their property taxes, right?

19 A. Yeah, there are many reasons.

20 Q. One reason people may not pay their
21 property taxes is if they believe that enforcement
22 efforts are lax, correct?

23 MR. STEWART: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: I don't know specifically why
25 people are not paying their taxes.

1 BY MR. SMITH:

2 Q. The City of Detroit certainly thinks that
3 it needs to improve property tax collections,
4 correct?

5 A. My conversations with the people at the
6 City in the assessor's office has been that they
7 would like to see collections improved.

8 Q. And they're taking active efforts to try
9 to do that, correct?

10 A. I don't know what efforts they're doing.

11 Q. In your report, you relied on the
12 Case-Shiller Home Price Index for Detroit. Do you
13 recall that?

14 A. It is one of the things that I looked at.

15 Q. And you agree the Case-Shiller Index is a
16 standard measure of housing prices, correct?

17 A. It is a measure of housing prices, yes.
18 It's widely used.

19 Q. And it's a reliable -- Case-Shiller is a
20 reliable measure of housing prices, correct?

21 A. What do you mean by reliable?

22 Q. I mean, people -- a lot of people in
23 government, business, academia rely on the
24 Case-Shiller Index for housing prices, correct?

25 A. Many people rely and look at it, yes.

1 from the Case-Shiller Property Index?

2 A. So the Case-Shiller Index was one source
3 that I consulted in selecting my inputs.

4 Q. But didn't you use some different numbers
5 for average housing selling prices in generating
6 your forecast other than Case-Shiller?

7 A. I did.

8 Q. And what were those?

9 A. I used the Detroit Association of Realtors
10 data.

11 Q. And did you use that updated to the
12 present time or not?

13 A. I took the information through December
14 2013, which was the last full year, and I pulled --
15 I think I had through March, March or May. And so
16 I looked at it, but in order to use it in
17 comparison of other data, my analysis went through
18 December 2013.

19 Q. Okay. And you know that the Detroit
20 realtor information shows that housing prices
21 continue to increase from the point you used,
22 December 2013, to the most recent data in 2014?

23 A. Housing prices did go up, yes.

24 Q. In fact, housing prices have gone up
25 fairly significantly in 2014 under the Detroit

1 Q. And you've done analyses where you've
2 utilized the Case-Shiller Housing Price Index in
3 the past for property valuations, haven't you?

4 A. I have looked at the Case-Shiller Index
5 for projects in the past.

6 Q. And did you use the Case-Shiller Index in
7 your work in Flint, Michigan?

8 A. I don't recall. I don't think so. I
9 don't know if Flint is one of the -- I don't think
10 it's one of the areas that the Case-Shiller Index
11 would cover.

12 Q. I mean, why do people look at the
13 Case-Shiller Housing Price Index?

14 A. It's viewed as a reputable source of
15 trends in house prices.

16 Q. And did the creators of the Case-Shiller
17 Housing Price Index, have they received the Nobel
18 Prize?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. They're widely respected economists,
21 right?

22 A. They are widely respected economists, yes,
23 I would agree.

24 Q. The -- in generating your forecasted
25 values for revenues, did you actually use numbers

1 realtor data you used?

2 A. What is significant in your opinion?

3 Q. Well, do you know how much they've gone
4 up?

5 A. I can't remember off the top of my head.

6 Q. It would be more than 10 percent, wouldn't it?

7 A. I don't recall.

8 Q. In the -- do you agree that based on all
9 the data you've seen, real estate values in the
10 city are increased in 2014?

11 A. The data I've looked at have shown real
12 estate values increasing in residential.

(Document marked No. 7)

14 Q. And you're aware that there are -- let me
15 just hand you a copy of what I'm going to mark as
16 Exhibit 7. It's an article entitled Detroit Named
17 a Top Turnaround Town For Residential Real Estate.

18 Do you have that in front of you?

19 A. Okay.

20 Q. And you've seen news stories and
21 assessments that have indicated that Detroit is one
22 of the markets in the country that's experienced
23 the largest increases in home prices during 2014,
24 correct?

25 A. I haven't compared Detroit to other

1 cities.

2 Q. Well, this assessment reports that, in the
3 first sentence, Detroit's housing market ranks
4 seventh overall in a realtor.com turnaround town
5 study of the national housing market in the second
6 quarter the real estate tracking firm said in a
7 report Wednesday. Do you see that?

8 A. I do see that.

9 Q. And you don't dispute that, correct?

10 A. I have no idea how realtor.com did their
11 analysis.

12 Q. Okay. Well, that's not my question. I
13 mean, you don't dispute -- you haven't done the
14 work necessary to dispute the fact that Detroit is
15 one of the fastest growing markets in terms of
16 housing prices in the country, correct?

17 MR. STEWART: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: So I've looked at the most recent
19 data for Detroit. I've not compared it to other
20 cities.

21 BY MR. SMITH:

22 Q. So you can't identify any city with more
23 rapidly growing housing prices in 2014 than Detroit
24 sitting here today, correct?

25 A. Well, this says it's the seventh.

1 Q. You haven't done any analysis to determine
2 the effect of the Detroit Land Bank on housing
3 prices in Detroit, correct?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And you haven't done any analysis to
6 determine the effect of the City's blight reduction
7 efforts on housing prices, correct?

8 A. We -- in our forecast in a reinvestment
9 scenario, we take into account removal of blight
10 property as part of general economic improvement to
11 the city, and so we've -- in that scenario, we've
12 factored in removal of blight as a positive for our
13 forecast.

14 Q. Do you actually know whether the forecasts
15 that have been done attach a dollar value to blight removal in terms of improved
16 revenue for the City?

17 A. What's the question?

18 Q. Do you actually know whether the forecasts
19 that have been done attach a dollar amount for
20 blight removal?

21 A. There has been money put in for each year
22 for blight removal in what I've seen.

23 Q. But do you know in terms of increased
24 revenue?

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. I haven't looked at it, though.

3 Q. I mean, you wouldn't find that surprising
4 that it's the seventh most highest in terms of
5 housing price growth, Detroit is?

6 A. From a very low base, it's had growth, so
7 that seems plausible, sure.

8 Q. And in fact, you mentioned the Detroit
9 Land Bank. Have you done any investigation into
10 its operations?

11 A. I don't know its operations.

12 Q. Were you aware that the City had
13 transferred 16,000 properties to the Detroit Land
14 Bank recently?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Do you know that the Detroit Land Bank has
17 recently had an auction of blighted properties?

18 A. Recently when?

19 Q. During the last year. I mean in this
20 year.

21 A. They have had auctions, yes.

22 Q. And in fact, there's been high demand in
23 the Detroit Land Bank auctions for blighted
24 properties, correct?

25 A. I don't know.

1 A. There has not been a sort of one
2 relationship done to say this much spending on
3 blight translates into X dollars of revenue.

4 Q. And you've done no analysis that's tried
5 to determine how much the blight removal will
6 increase revenues to the City in your analysis, correct?

7 A. I have not. Right. So in my analysis, I
8 did not look at the direct relationship between
9 blight removal and property tax revenue.

10 (Document marked No. 8)

11 Q. Let me hand you what's been marked as
12 Exhibit 8. It's a regional commerce paper from
13 Detroit Regional Commerce. If you go to the first
14 page entitled Detroit Facts, this document says
15 that approximately 12 billion in private
16 investments have been made in Detroit since 2006.

17 Do you have any basis to dispute that?

18 A. I have no idea where that number is coming
19 from.

20 Q. Have you done any investigation into how
21 much private investment has been made in Detroit in
22 the past few years?

23 A. I've only read some articles on it.

24 Q. Okay. You know there's been significant
25 private investment in Detroit in the last few

1 Q. And there's been a recent uptick in 2014
 2 in employment, correct?

3 A. The seasonally unadjusted data is higher
 4 than some of the 2010, 2011 periods.

5 Q. And in fact, there's an inflection point in
 6 the data now that the employment is going up,
 7 correct?

8 A. Where is the inflection point?

9 Q. Around April of 2014, April and March.

10 A. There was a downward trend between, it
 11 looks like, December 2013 down to April 2014, and
 12 then May 2014 it's slightly higher.

13 Q. Do you know what period of time the
 14 employment data that you looked at for your
 15 analysis?

16 A. So we -- so primarily Bob Cline with the
 17 assistance of Katie Ballard used the employment
 18 information in their forecast, and so they did --
 19 they pulled the historical data, did the trend
 20 analysis, so they would be the ones to better speak
 21 to it.

22 Q. I mean, did you use the trend analysis by
 23 Mr. Cline and Ms. Ballard in your forecast?

24 A. So they did the trend analysis, and then I
 25 looked to see what their employment forecasts were

1 Q. You know there are private entities that
 2 have pledged money to assist the City and improve
 3 its economy and reduce blight, correct?

4 A. I know that private entity have pledged
 5 money. I don't know off the top of my head for
 6 what purposes.

7 Q. You've done no analysis of the impact of
 8 private donations on property tax revenues in the
 9 city, correct?

10 A. The only way it would factor into the
 11 analysis is private donation improving the economy
 12 and stabilizing some of the negative aspects of
 13 Detroit, and then that shows up in our reinvestment
 14 scenario.

15 Q. So private donation improving the economy
 16 can improve property tax revenues, correct?

17 A. It's possible.

18 Q. And -- but as far as you're aware, nobody
 19 has tried to forecast the amount of private
 20 donations over the next 10 years for the City,
 21 correct?

22 A. I don't know if that's been done.

23 Q. Okay. As far as you're aware, there's no
 24 analysis that's been done to try to show the impact
 25 of private donations on City revenues, correct?

1 based on that analysis so that my analysis was
 2 consistent with theirs.

3 Q. And did they forecast decrease in
 4 employment?

5 A. They did.

6 Q. Do you have any idea how they arrived at
 7 their forecast of decreasing employment after
 8 looking at the Bureau of Labor Statistics data?

9 MR. STEWART: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: So generally I know what they
 11 did. They performed an analysis looking at the
 12 historical trend and the relationship between
 13 Detroit and the rest of the state, and so they used
 14 that information to forecast employment trends with
 15 relationship to the state forecast.

16 BY MR. SMITH:

17 Q. Okay. Can you explain to me how the
 18 employment forecast was actually calculated that
 19 you relied on?

20 A. Other than generally saying, I was not the
 21 one that did it, so no.

22 Q. And who was the person who you understood
 23 did that forecast?

24 A. Bob Cline with the assistance of Katie
 25 Ballard.

1 A. I don't know if it's been done.

2 Q. You certainly didn't do any analysis to
 3 assess the impact of private donations on the
 4 housing market or private tax revenues, correct?

5 A. As I said before, only in that if as part
 6 of reinvestment in the city, private donations
 7 occur and it helps to improve the economic
 8 environment, then that's been factored into the
 9 reinvestment scenario.

10 Q. But you don't know if the reinvestment
 11 scenarios tried to forecast the amount of private
 12 donations that will come into the city, correct?

13 A. I don't know how private donations are
 14 included in that.

15 Q. And you don't know how the reinvestment
 16 forecast was put together, correct?

17 A. I was shown the reinvestment forecast. I
 18 don't know how it was put together.

19 Q. And you didn't do anything to test the
 20 reliability of the reinvestment forecast, correct?

21 A. So as before, you can only test, I think,
 22 reliability with actuals, and it hasn't occurred,
 23 so I don't know.

24 Q. Do you know who actually put together the
 25 reinvestment forecast?

1 A. I don't know specifically who did.

2 Q. You used the reinvestment forecast to
3 generate some of your forecast; is that fair?

4 A. I would not say generate. I would say
5 that I looked to see what was put together for
6 reinvestment for further reinvestment initiatives,
7 and that helped think about how we selected our
8 growth rates in that reinvestment scenario.

9 Q. Were numbers from the reinvestment
10 forecast plugged into your forecast?

11 A. Were numbers for the reinvestment forecast
12 plugged into our forecast? No.

13 Q. Did you use the reinvestment forecast to
14 generate some of the assumptions for your forecast?

15 A. It was something that was looked at along
16 with other things in terms -- in how we put
17 together the reinvestment scenario.

18 (Document marked No. 11)

19 Q. I'm going to hand you a copy of what's
20 been marked as Exhibit 11, which is from the
21 Case-Shiller Detroit Home Price Index, and let me
22 know if this is the type of data that you have
23 reviewed regarding housing prices.

24 A. Yeah.

25 Q. And the Case-Shiller Index shows the

1 Q. But overall, your model, the way it's
2 constructed, could show a decrease in property tax
3 revenue even if the Case-Shiller Home Price Index
4 shows housing prices are going up, correct?

5 A. So the Case-Shiller Detroit Home Price
6 Index is for the Detroit metro region, and so the
7 metro region could see home price indexes go up.
8 And we show that other factors mean that taxable
9 value declines, and so tax revenues go down.

10 Q. And there's not -- in your model, you're
11 assuming there's not necessarily a link between
12 actual home values or prices as measured in the
13 Case-Shiller Index and taxable value, correct?

14 A. So the relationship is whether the selling
15 of the home price when it's reset -- when the
16 taxable value is reset after the sale, whether it
17 was higher or lower than what the taxable value had
18 been the year before. That's what matters.

19 Q. In your forecast, you're predicting a
20 large decrease in property tax revenue and assessed
21 values even though the Case-Shiller Index shows
22 that property -- home prices have been increasing
23 for the last two years, correct?

24 A. So in our model, we have taxable value
25 continuing to decline even with some improvements

1 housing prices have been increasing in Detroit over
2 the last two years, correct?

3 A. The Michigan Detroit Home Price Index is
4 going up, yes.

5 Q. And there was an inflection point around
6 2010; is that correct? It's a little bit light on there.

7 A. Yeah, midway through 2010, 2011. Yeah.

8 Q. And increasing housing prices in your
9 model lead to increased property tax revenues,
10 correct?

11 A. So increasing home prices is one factor in
12 our model, and it could lead to our overall
13 increase in tax revenue. It really depends on what
14 happens to taxable value.

15 Q. Holding all other factors constant, do
16 increased home prices have an effect in increasing
17 property tax revenues in your model?

18 A. Holding everything constant, an increase
19 in a home price would -- well, it depends on the
20 taxable value, I guess. It depends on what the
21 taxable value is when the property sells and it's
22 reset. And so if the home price is higher than --
23 and the reset of the taxable value is higher than
24 what it had been, it would increase tax revenues.
25 If sort of varies house to house.

1 in home prices.

2 Q. And in fact, you're predicting the tax
3 revenues are going to be cut in half, aren't you,
4 over the course of the 10-year period?

5 A. Tax revenue --

6 Q. Or taxable values are going to be cut in
7 half in the city of Detroit over the 10-year
8 period?

9 A. So residential property is going to be cut
10 in half over the 10-year period.

11 Q. So you're predicting that property values
12 are going to be cut in half even though the
13 Case-Shiller Home Price Index is showing an
14 increase in property home prices for the last two
15 years in Detroit, correct?

16 A. Right. So you can have an increase in
17 home prices, but your taxable value could still be
18 higher than what it is when the home sells. So you
19 could see even with an increase with home prices,
20 you could see your taxable value fall.

21 Q. And the taxable value is something -- is
22 that set by the City?

23 A. So taxable value is put together by the
24 City, and then it goes to -- there are several
25 review processes before it's finalized.

1 (Document marked No. 12)
 2 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked
 3 as Exhibit 12. It's another printout from
 4 Case-Shiller. At first at the top, it describes
 5 what the Home Price Index, the Case-Shiller Index
 6 tries to do, which it seeks to measure changes in
 7 the total value of all existing single-family
 8 housing stock.

9 Is that your understanding of what it does?

10 A. Uh-huh.

11 Q. And if you look at the index levels below,
 12 it indicates the one-year change in the index is an
 13 increase of 15.37 percent for Detroit, correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And the three-year change for Detroit is a
 16 12.86 percent increase, correct?

17 A. Uh-huh.

18 Q. And they also provide figures for a
 19 20-year -- a 20-city composite home index as a
 20 benchmark. Do you see that?

21 A. Yes, I do.

22 Q. And you're familiar with that benchmark?

23 A. I am.

24 Q. And the benchmark of 20 cities shows
 25 growth in home prices that is lower than Detroit's

1 Detroit metro area. I don't know.

2 Q. You haven't looked at that?

3 A. So we were charged with looking
 4 specifically at the city of Detroit, not the entire
 5 Detroit metro region.

6 Q. Is it possible to forecast what the
 7 Case-Shiller Home Price Index would look like for
 8 Detroit over the next 10 years?

9 A. I don't understand the question.

10 Q. Is it possible to forecast what the
 11 Case-Shiller Home Price Index will be for Detroit
 12 over the next 10 years?

13 A. So Case-Shiller is using actual data. I
 14 don't know if they do forecasts.

15 Q. I'm asking could you forecast the
 16 Case-Shiller Home Price Index over the next
 17 10 years?

18 A. I have not done that, no.

19 Q. I know, but is it possible for you to do
 20 that? Is that something that's technically
 21 possible for you to do?

22 A. So you can forecast what is going to
 23 happen to average home prices. That's an exercise
 24 that I've done, that I've engaged with.

25 Q. Okay. And if we were to forecast home

1 for the one and three-year periods, correct?

2 A. Uh-huh. Yeah.

3 Q. Detroit's home prices using the
 4 Case-Shiller Index have increased more than other
 5 cities in the benchmark index over the one and
 6 three-year and five-year periods, correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. And what are some of the reasons that
 9 Detroit's home prices would have been increasing at
 10 greater rates than other cities over the last one,
 11 three or five years?

12 A. I haven't looked at the other cities, but
 13 when I looked at Detroit specifically, the
 14 percentage increases have been so large, in part,
 15 because the base is so low.

16 Q. Right. I mean, Detroit is starting out
 17 from a low period, so it's not surprising that you
 18 would see a large increase in home prices, correct?

19 A. That is correct. A \$5,000 increase is
 20 large in Detroit.

21 Q. And you would anticipate that the
 22 Case-Shiller Index would continue to increase for
 23 the Detroit market over the 10-year period that you
 24 forecast?

25 A. I don't know what it's going to do for the

1 prices over the next 10 years in Detroit, those
 2 home prices would increase under a reasonable
 3 forecast, correct?

4 MR. STEWART: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: I don't know what reasonable is.
 6 When I've done this, I've had -- I've looked at
 7 what would likely happen to average home prices,
 8 and they have been going up in my forecast.

9 BY MR. SMITH:

10 Q. Okay. And those are forecasts over the
 11 next how many years?

12 A. So looking out 10 years.

13 Q. Okay. So you're forecasting home prices
 14 to increase over the next 10 years, correct?

15 A. I am.

16 Q. And that would include in Detroit?

17 A. That's just for Detroit. I didn't look at
 18 the entire area.

19 Q. Okay. And why were you doing that
 20 forecast? Is that part of your expert analysis?

21 A. So as I mentioned in my report, one of the
 22 things that I looked at is the uncapping of taxable
 23 value when homes prices sell, and so part of the
 24 exercise was thinking about what happens to home
 25 prices.

1 continued to increase in the city of Detroit; is
 2 that correct?
 3 A. There were increases, yes.
 4 Q. The information you talk about about
 5 Renaissance Zones, it's kind of down toward the
 6 bottom of the page, and you mention you had the one
 7 year's data from 2013. Do you see that?
 8 A. Yes.
 9 Q. And we already talked about how that was
 10 provided to you by the assessor's office, right?
 11 A. It was.
 12 Q. And the reason you need the Renaissance
 13 Zone data is because there's different tax --
 14 different taxes apply to Renaissance Zone than
 15 elsewhere in the city; is that correct?
 16 A. So Renaissance Zones are exempt from
 17 certain taxes.
 18 Q. And that includes the property tax?
 19 A. Portions of the property tax. So if
 20 you're in a Renaissance Zone, you're still paying
 21 the debt millages, but you're not paying general
 22 operating. You're not paying library.
 23 Q. Page 7 of your report, it says in
 24 Paragraph C use separate growth rates for real and
 25 personal property by property class. Do you see

1 following standard forecasting procedures. So as I
 2 outlined earlier, I followed the Michigan State
 3 Revenue Conference forecasting procedures, which is
 4 also what U.S. federal agencies use. So you follow
 5 current law, and you don't assume a tax rate has
 6 changed.
 7 Q. Current law does not exempt personal
 8 property in the manner that the proposed
 9 legislation does, correct?
 10 A. So right now in current law, it is
 11 planning for -- the bills have been passed that
 12 would repeal personal property. It has to be
 13 confirmed by voters. And so in that case, the
 14 third part of forecasting is to think about what
 15 known changes there are, and in this case, we
 16 accounted for a known change.
 17 Q. Okay. Well, you don't know what the
 18 outcome of the vote will be, correct?
 19 A. I do not know.
 20 Q. And so under current law, personal
 21 property is not exempted, correct? That's not the
 22 law in the state of Michigan right now, correct?
 23 MR. ALBERTS: Objection.
 24 THE WITNESS: So currently personal property is
 25 slated to be repealed assuming voters approve it in

1 that?
 2 A. Uh-huh.
 3 Q. Do you know what growth rates you used?
 4 A. So it varied by type of property within
 5 real and personal.
 6 Q. Did you pick the growth rates for real and
 7 personal property based on your judgment?
 8 A. So ultimately I selected those growth
 9 rates based on my judgment.
 10 Q. And do those growth rates also vary over
 11 year for each class of property?
 12 A. They change year to year, yes.
 13 Q. And you used your judgment to decide how
 14 the growth rates for each class of property should
 15 change year to year; is that correct?
 16 A. I used my judgment to see how they would
 17 change year to year, yes.
 18 Q. Page 8, subsection ii(b), you say that --
 19 one of your assumptions was the tax law will remain
 20 unchanged during the forecast time periods. Do you
 21 see that?
 22 A. Yes.
 23 Q. And who gave you that assumption?
 24 A. So in this case, it's referring to the
 25 selected tax rate, and we kept it constant

1 August.
 2 BY MR. SMITH:
 3 Q. Does current law as it exists at the date
 4 of this deposition exempt personal property?
 5 A. So the laws have been passed that exempt
 6 it. It just hasn't been -- it's subject to
 7 approval by voters, so I kind of feel like this is
 8 gray, and I don't quite know how to answer that
 9 question.
 10 Q. Okay. Right now personal property is not
 11 exempted. You can't say oh, I'm not going to pay
 12 my personal property tax, right?
 13 A. So personal property right now is -- has
 14 been taxed in Michigan.
 15 Q. Okay. And that's the current law right
 16 now?
 17 A. Current law, the vote has not happened.
 18 It's still taxed. Okay. I will say that.
 19 Q. But in your forecast, you've modeled the
 20 possibility that that current law treatment of
 21 personal property tax may change over time,
 22 correct? You've used a 50 percent factor to model
 23 that possibility, correct?
 24 A. Right. So we -- so I've included a
 25 50 percent chance that the vote passes in my

1 analysis.

2 Q. And so you've included in your analysis a
3 50 percent chance that personal property exemptions
4 may pass in which case personal property taxes
5 would be decreased, correct?

6 A. So what I specifically modeled is a
7 50 percent chance that personal property taxes will
8 be reduced for commercial industrial taxpayers, and
9 so I factored in a 20 percent -- what -- we're
10 forecasting a 20 percent reduction in personal
11 property and a 50 percent chance of that happening,
12 so we've modeled a 10 percent reduction.

13 Q. So you factored in a chance that there
14 will be a change in current law leading to a
15 reduction in personal property taxes, correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And the -- have you done any investigation
18 into whether there's any debate about changing tax
19 rates in the state of Michigan?

20 A. For what?

21 Q. Have you done any investigation into
22 whether there are any proposals to change tax rates
23 in the state of Michigan?

24 A. In the state overall or --

25 Q. That would impact City of Detroit.

1 A. So I have done forecasting when a specific
2 policy change has been given and we've asked to
3 say -- to look at the revenue impacts.

4 Q. What kind of policy change have you
5 forecasted to determine the potential impacts on
6 taxes?

7 A. So in my old job, I participated in a
8 two-year project looking at tax policy changes at
9 the State of Michigan level. Some of the tax
10 changes would have reduced revenues for certain
11 taxes, and some would have increased tax revenue
12 for certain taxes.

13 Q. So when you were working with the State of
14 Michigan, you forecasted the effects of potential
15 changes in tax policy on tax revenues?

16 A. So I wasn't working for the State of
17 Michigan. Maybe you said with, but I was working
18 for another organization doing State of Michigan
19 taxes, and -- I've forgotten your question.

20 Q. What was the other organization that you
21 worked on Michigan taxes with?

22 A. I worked for a group called Business
23 Leaders for Michigan.

24 Q. Okay. When you were doing forecasting to
25 look at changes various policies that could be

1 A. I have not looked into any tax rate
2 changes in the city.

3 Q. Have you looked into any tax changes other
4 than the personal property tax change that could
5 impact Detroit's revenues and whether there are
6 proposals for those?

7 A. I don't think I understand the question.

8 Q. Have you looked into any -- into whether
9 there are any proposals for tax rate changes that
10 might impact Detroit's tax revenues?

11 A. I am not aware of any proposals that would
12 change tax rates in Detroit.

13 Q. Have you investigated the matter, though?

14 A. No. I have not looked into it.

15 Q. So you're saying that the assumption that
16 you used for keeping tax rates constant came from
17 the Michigan Manual; is that correct?

18 A. So they've published a paper about their
19 procedures for doing their consensus revenue
20 forecasting, and so that was consulted as well as
21 what do other agencies do, and those procedures
22 were followed.

23 Q. Have you done forecasting for taxes before
24 where you did not assume current tax law applied,
25 that there might be changes?

1 adopted would have on Michigan tax revenues, you
2 did an analysis on that, correct?

3 A. So I did an analysis of specific tax
4 changes for the state that would affect the state.

5 Q. Okay. And did you calculate -- forecast
6 what the changes in revenues would be if tax policy
7 changed in the state of Michigan when you did this
8 other project?

9 A. When I did this other project, yes.

10 Q. And were you coordinating with the state,
11 or how would you describe your relationship with
12 the state in conjunction with that project?

13 A. So I would have -- I would go to meetings
14 with state representatives to talk about the tax
15 change and to collect data.

16 Q. And what kind of tax changes were you
17 discussing with Michigan officials?

18 A. Generally looking at changes to the
19 business tax and changes to the sales tax.

20 Q. Okay. Were they looking at increasing or
21 decreasing the business tax and the sales tax?

22 A. They were looking at decreasing the
23 business taxes and potentially raising the sales
24 tax.

25 Q. And raising the sales tax would increase

1 the amount of money that the state collected that
 2 could be used for revenue sharing, correct?
 3 A. The specifics of the different policies
 4 and models, I can't remember how revenue sharing
 5 was treated in that.

6 Q. Do you know where the city -- where the
 7 state gets the money that it uses for revenue
 8 sharing?

9 A. I do.

10 Q. Okay. Where do they get that money?

11 A. So -- so the constitutional piece is
 12 funded through sales and use tax revenue, a part of
 13 it.

14 Q. So increasing the sales tax would increase
 15 revenue sharing under the constitution of Michigan
 16 to the cities, correct?

17 A. All things equal, if you -- so -- so right
 18 now how the constitutional piece is structured, you
 19 have the first 4 percent, and then you have an
 20 add-on 2 percent. So the revenue sharing is -- the
 21 constitutional piece is 15 percent of that
 22 4 percent, and those things are written that keep
 23 that 4 percent constant, and you play with that
 24 additional 2 percent.

25 So proposals that I often look at was sort

1 and use tax go into the General Fund.

2 Q. Okay. So the more money that the state
 3 collects in sales tax, the more money that is
 4 available to fund revenue sharing payments,
 5 correct?

6 A. So the more sales tax revenue the state
 7 collects, the more money it would have available in
 8 its General Fund, and it could choose to increase
 9 payments to municipalities, or it could not.

10 Q. And so increasing the sales tax rate could
 11 have the effect of -- it would have the effect of
 12 making more money available for revenue sharing
 13 payments. It's just whether the legislature
 14 decides to use it or not, correct?

15 A. More money would be available.
 16 Legislature could or could not decide to use it.

17 Q. When were you doing that analysis about
 18 potentially raising the sales tax rate?

19 A. So that analysis I did back seven, eight
 20 years ago. What year is it? 2014. So I did that
 21 work, I'm remembering, but probably around 2007.

22 Q. Okay. And did the state raise the sales
 23 tax?

24 A. They didn't.

25 Q. Do you know why they didn't?

1 of keeping the revenue sharing intact. If you're
 2 able to increase that revenue, if you expand the
 3 sales tax base or you have more transactions or
 4 things, then you can see that sales tax revenue
 5 could go up, but you could play with the rate, and
 6 it may not actually affect sales tax revenue.

7 Q. Is it possible that increasing the rate of
 8 the sales tax will increase state revenue sharing
 9 payments to the cities?

10 A. Typically if you were to change the rate,
 11 you wouldn't be affecting, per se, the revenue
 12 sharing if you're not changing that 4 percent.

13 Q. What about the percent above 4 percent?

14 A. Well, that part of it isn't part of the
 15 sales tax formula.

16 Q. But generally you know that the state uses
 17 the sales tax as a source for its revenue sharing
 18 payments to the cities, correct?

19 A. The constitutional piece comes from the
 20 sales tax.

21 Q. Do you know if any of the statutory piece
 22 comes from the sales tax?

23 A. So right now the Economic Vitality
 24 Incentive Program is being -- the funds for it are
 25 coming from the General Fund, and part of the sales

1 A. So Michigan ended up making some changes.
 2 They eliminated the SBT. They put in a new
 3 business tax and decided not to make any changes to
 4 the sales tax.

5 Q. And is the state of Michigan, compared to
 6 other states, a relatively low-tax state?

7 A. It depends on what time frame you look at.
 8 Q. Currently.

9 A. Currently Michigan's in the middle in
 10 terms of tax burden.

11 Q. Do you know if there's any other planning
 12 regarding sales taxes, sales tax changes?

13 A. I'm not aware of any planned sales tax
 14 changes.

15 Q. Have you investigated whether there are
 16 any planned sales tax changes?

17 A. Nothing has come up in my conversations
 18 with anyone, so I'm not aware.

19 Q. Have you ever heard of the Revised
 20 Judicature Act of 1961?

21 A. I don't think so.

22 Q. Are you aware that under current law, if
 23 the City has a judgment against it, that it can
 24 charge -- it can raise property tax rates above
 25 statutory rates to collect money to satisfy the

1 judgment?

2 A. I'm not aware of that law.

3 Q. Did anybody ever tell you or inform you
4 that the City has in the past raised property tax
5 rates above statutory maximums to pay judgments
6 against it?

7 A. No one told me that. When I looked at a
8 history of property tax millages for general
9 operating, I noticed that the rates in the past
10 were above 20 mills.

11 Q. Okay. And so you noticed that the rates
12 were above statutory maximums in the past. Then
13 did you make any inquiry about why that was?

14 A. No, because going forward, what was
15 relevant was the current tax rate.

16 Q. Okay. But the City -- Syncora could get a
17 judgment against the City, and the property tax
18 rate could be raised above statutory maximums,
19 correct?

20 MR. STEWART: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: I don't know the likelihood of
22 that.

23 BY MR. SMITH:

24 Q. Well, other -- you know other creditors of
25 the City in the past have been successful in

1 Q. Okay. You did do an adjustment for
2 changes in collection rates over time, correct?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Do you know if there's an adjustment for
5 changes in collection rates for the income tax
6 under Mr. Cline's analysis?

7 A. I don't know.

8 Q. You haven't done anything to ensure that
9 your methodology in terms of the treatment of
10 collection rates is consistent with Mr. Cline's
11 methodology, correct?

12 A. Can you say that again?

13 Q. You haven't done anything to investigate
14 or ensure that the methodology you used with
15 respect to collection rate on the property tax is
16 consistent with Mr. Cline's approach on the income
17 or other taxes, correct?

18 A. We took pains to make sure that the inputs
19 we were using were consistent, and the way we were
20 going about -- he had a different methodology
21 because it's a different type of tax with a
22 different tax base. He had a different methodology
23 than I did, and I don't -- given how -- I don't
24 know -- you know, there's a different collection
25 process. I don't know how he factored in

1 getting judgments and having the tax rate raised
2 above statutory maximums to pay it, correct?

3 A. I don't know any details.

4 Q. Well, you know that the tax rates have
5 been assessed above statutory maximums in the past,
6 correct?

7 A. The only thing that I noticed was in the
8 past, the general operating mill was above 20, and
9 I was not sure when the 20-mills limit became
10 relevant for Detroit.

11 Q. Nobody -- I guess since nobody has told
12 you about this possibility of property tax rates
13 being above statutory maximums, so that's correct,
14 right?

15 A. Nobody has told me about that.

16 Q. Okay. So nobody has asked you to consider
17 what taxes -- property taxes could be collected at
18 rates above statutory maximums under the Revised
19 Judicature Act, correct?

20 A. Correct. Nobody asked me to look into
21 that.

22 Q. And you don't have any idea why they
23 didn't ask you, correct?

24 A. I have no idea why people did not ask me
25 to do something.

1 collection exactly into his model.

2 Q. You don't know whether Mr. Cline factored
3 any changes in collection rate in his model one way
4 or the other, correct?

5 A. I don't know how his model incorporates
6 collection. I would have to see it.

7 Q. You agree that it's important to factor in
8 the collection rate in forecasting income tax,
9 correct?

10 A. So there are -- collections of income is
11 important, and he -- you know, collections for
12 income taxes are different than property taxes, so
13 it's not -- it's not unusual that he would deal
14 with it differently than I would. He's -- for
15 example, you have tax withheld from paychecks, so
16 that's a very different model than someone paying
17 their property taxes.

18 Q. But you agree that it's important to
19 factor in some way changes in collection rate
20 over time in forecasting the income, corporate,
21 wagering or utility user tax, correct?

22 A. I think you said, though, that it's
23 important to factor in changes. I think it's
24 important to think about the revenue that you're
25 going to be receiving, you're actually receiving,

1 and for each of the different taxes, we did that.
 2 In property, we thought about what's the
 3 collection rate. In income taxes, we thought about
 4 the tax base, different tax bases, taxes withheld
 5 versus taxes paid, so collection would show up in
 6 that analysis. For the other taxes, we thought
 7 about well, what is being actually paid by, say,
 8 the casinos or utility users. So collections is
 9 present, but I think they're different in each of
 10 the tax bases.

11 Q. But in the income tax analysis, you know
 12 there's no change or analysis of what the
 13 collection rate might be over the next 10 years,
 14 correct?

15 A. I don't know exactly what Mr. Cline did
 16 there.

17 Q. Okay. But you agree it's important to
 18 take into account the collection rate in any
 19 forecast of taxes and tax revenue that you do,
 20 correct?

21 A. I don't know if I would say it's important
 22 to think about a collection rate. I think it's
 23 important to think about what money the entity is
 24 going to receive, which is what we've tried to do
 25 in our forecast. We tried to think about actual

1 Q. Because the higher your collection rate,
 2 the higher your tax revenue, and the lower your
 3 collection rate, the lower the revenue, correct?

4 A. In some cases, yes. In other cases, you
 5 can have a higher collection rate and a lower tax
 6 levy, and you could have -- you would have a lower
 7 tax revenue.

8 Q. All other things being equal, the higher
 9 the collection rate, the more tax revenue you take
 10 in, correct?

11 A. Up to a certain point.

12 Q. And that's why it's important to consider
 13 the collection rate in a tax forecast, right?

14 MR. STEWART: You've asked this question, I
 15 think I've counted, 15 times now, so she's going to
 16 answer. This is going to be the last time because
 17 I am going to instruct her after this.

18 MR. SMITH: You have a pattern of obstructing
 19 every deposition you've been in. By the way, I'm
 20 going to ask her about deposition transcripts
 21 later, so I ask that you produce this order of the
 22 court because I'm informed there is no such order.

23 MR. STEWART: No. Ask Mr. Hackney.

24 MR. SMITH: No. I'm asking you. I'm going to
 25 ask about it. Where's the order?

1 money in the door in a given fiscal year.

2 Q. And would you agree that collection rate
 3 is one of the key drivers of tax revenue?

4 A. In the property taxes forecasts that I
 5 did, collection rate is an important driver.

6 Q. Yeah. For any tax revenue analysis,
 7 collection rate is a key driver of tax revenue,
 8 correct?

9 A. Income taxes, like I said, I think each of
 10 them collections is different, and so the
 11 collection process is different, and so it's more
 12 important and less important in other areas.

13 Q. Have you ever done a tax forecast where
 14 you failed to incorporate a collection rate?

15 A. In the forecast that I prepared related to
 16 property taxes, I've included a collection rate.

17 Q. I'm asking about any tax forecast. Have
 18 you ever done a tax forecast where you didn't take
 19 into account potential changes in collection rates?

20 A. I mean, I think -- I've always
 21 incorporated collections, and we all think -- you
 22 know, both in this project and other work what do
 23 we actually think money is going to be in the door,
 24 so collections are always a process of what we're
 25 thinking about.

1 MR. STEWART: It was a ruling that he made.
 2 Your partner, Mr. Hackney was there.

3 MR. SMITH: And there was no such ruling, so --
 4 MR. STEWART: Yes, there was.

5 MR. SMITH: -- you're obstructing the
 6 deposition.

7 MR. STEWART: I was in court when it happened.

8 MR. SMITH: Okay. Then I'm asking that you
 9 produce it. Produce it before the deposition is
 10 over. We've got like three or four hours left.

11 MR. STEWART: No.

12 MR. SMITH: We're at your offices. I just want
 13 the order.

14 MR. STEWART: I told you it's not an order.
 15 It's a ruling that he made.

16 MR. SMITH: Or the transcript, whatever it is.
 17 I want you to show me where the court issued this
 18 ruling.

19 MR. STEWART: Why don't you ask Mr. Hackney
 20 because he was the one that got in the colloquy
 21 with the judge, and he is the one where the judge
 22 said it. I think it was during the swaps trial,
 23 but we've had thousands and thousands of pages of
 24 transcript. So I'm not going to interrupt the
 25 deposition to go find it. I think, though --

1 MR. SMITH: You can do it at a break.
 2 MR. STEWART: -- since your firm was the one
 3 that got the adverse ruling, I would think they'd
 4 have no trouble, and find Mr. Hackney. He
 5 remembers it well because he professed to be
 6 surprised by the judge's ruling, and the judge told
 7 him actually it's standard, and it is standard.
 8 And that's just -- everybody knows it. Surprised
 9 he didn't because he's an excellent lawyer, but
 10 that was the ruling.

11 So now the question, though, is I think --
 12 I don't think I'm going unfair when I say that
 13 after you've asked this witness the same question
 14 15 times and she's answered it 15 times, you have
 15 to move on. You're just arguing with her. You're
 16 wasting everybody's time, and it's an abuse of the
 17 witness. So let's reread the question. Reread the
 18 question. She's going to answer it, and then we're
 19 going to move on.

20 (Whereupon, the record was
 21 read as requested.)

22 THE WITNESS: Collections are important to
 23 consider in doing any tax forecast.

24 BY MR. SMITH:

25 Q. And Ms. Sallee, is it your understanding

1 What the ruling was is you cannot ask a witness to
 2 comment on the testimony of another witness.
 3 That's what the ruling was.

4 MR. SMITH: Okay. That's what you've been
 5 telling everybody in this case, right?

6 MR. STEWART: Well, that's what the judge
 7 ruled. I should add, by the way, I didn't instruct
 8 her not to answer your questions. I just told you
 9 I have a standing objection to them, and I cannot
 10 stop you if you want to ask her what Mr. Evanko
 11 said. I just told you it's improper, and the judge
 12 has said not to do it.

13 MR. SMITH: You obstructed the deposition
 14 already.

15 MR. STEWART: No. If you want to ask her, ask
 16 all day about it.

17 MR. SMITH: I'm told the tape is running out.
 18 Let's take a break.

19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. The time is
 20 1:32 p.m.

21 (Whereupon, a short break was
 22 taken.)

23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
 24 The time is 1:39 p.m.

25

1 that you're not allowed to look at any of the
 2 testimony given in deposition by other witnesses in
 3 this case, including Mr. Evanko?

4 MR. STEWART: You just misstated it.

5 MR. SMITH: I'm asking the question.

6 MR. STEWART: Ask her. Then I'm going to
 7 correct you because you just misstated what I told
 8 you.

9 MR. SMITH: You're coaching the witness.

10 MR. STEWART: Answer the question.

11 THE WITNESS: You said testimony. I'm trying
 12 to -- I don't know -- I don't know legal things, so
 13 I don't know.

14 MR. STEWART: Just because I think you
 15 misunderstood it, Mr. Smith, but the judge's ruling
 16 was --

17 MR. SMITH: Listen, your speaking objections
 18 are really obstructive.

19 MR. STEWART: Well, there's no pending
 20 question.

21 MR. SMITH: Then don't give a speech on the
 22 record.

23 MR. STEWART: Because you just misstated
 24 things, and we have to have the record corrected
 25 because you can't go around misstating things.

1 BY MR. SMITH:

2 Q. Hi, Ms. Sallee. Do you have Mr. Evanko's
 3 testimony in front of you? Do you see the excerpts
 4 there?

5 MR. STEWART: And you have my standing
 6 objection, and I will not interrupt your
 7 examination if it's clear my objection to this is
 8 standing.

9 MR. SMITH: Okay.

10 BY MR. SMITH:

11 Q. You've got it in front of you?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. I wanted to ask you about Page 152
 14 in there if you would flip through. These are just
 15 excerpts from his deposition, and let me know when
 16 you get to Page 152.

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. Okay. And actually if you go down to
 19 Line 13, you see Mr. Evanko's talking about the
 20 transactions they have and whether they're arm's
 21 length. Do you see that?

22 A. Yeah.

23 Q. And you see that the data that he's
 24 received was so scant of arm's length transactions.
 25 There could not have been a study developed because

1 it was just absolutely insufficient data. Do you
2 see that?

3 A. Okay. I see that.

4 Q. And Mr. Evanko's testimony is generally
5 consistent with the other materials we've seen from
6 the assessor's office indicating that most of the
7 transactions are not arm's length, correct?

8 MR. STEWART: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: Well, I haven't seen any of the
10 data, so I don't know.

11 BY MR. SMITH:

12 Q. Well, I mean, we saw some other documents
13 talking about how most of the transactions were not
14 arm's length. Do you recall that?

15 A. I don't know if most of the transactions
16 were not arm's length.

17 Q. So you don't know what percent of the
18 transactions the City has that are arm's length
19 transactions, correct?

20 A. I do not know a percent, no.

21 Q. If you go over to Page 223 of the
22 document, it's like the last two pages. Let me
23 know when you get to 223.

24 A. Okay.

25 Q. If you'll look at Line 18, Mr. Evanko is

1 A. So I had to -- I had several conversations
2 with Mr. Evanko. I've talked with him in January
3 of 2014 and received some data from him. He
4 answered some questions. I also had conversations
5 with Alvin Horhn in his office. And I had a call
6 with Alvin in February of 2014, and I ran the
7 10 percent reduction by him, and he -- he said that
8 was reasonable.

9 Q. Did you ever run the 10 percent reduction
10 in personal property tax by Mr. Evanko before you
11 put that in your report?

12 A. I can't remember if it came up in the
13 conversations with him in January or not. I know I
14 did run it by, because of my notes, with Alvin
15 Horhn.

16 Q. Do you have written notes of all of your
17 conversations with people at the City?

18 A. No, I don't.

19 Q. Do you have written notes of any of your
20 conversations with people at the City or others you
21 rely on?

22 A. I do have some written notes.

23 Q. Do you know if those have been collected
24 for production?

25 A. They have.

1 asked but when I said you don't remember discussing
2 this with Ernst & Young, I was correct, right?
3 Right. You don't recall discussing .5 reduction of
4 10 percent in collection in fiscal year 2015 due to
5 loss of revenue from the small business personal
6 property tax exemption? Not only do I not -- I do
7 not recall, but this is a ridiculous estimate. I
8 knew in December of 2013 that the small business
9 personal property tax exemption would affect the
10 City's tax base by approximately .7 of 1 percent,
11 not 10 percent.

12 Do you see that?

13 A. Uh-huh.

14 Q. So Mr. Evanko is characterizing your
15 forecast of the reduction in personal property tax
16 as a ridiculous estimate, correct?

17 MR. STEWART: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: Well, he says this is a
19 ridiculous estimate.

20 BY MR. SMITH:

21 Q. And you didn't have any conversation with
22 Mr. Evanko to ask him about whether it was a
23 reasonable estimate the reduction in personal
24 property tax before you put it in your report,
25 correct?

1 Q. Do you understand that under the proposed
2 legislation that there's sums that will be
3 reimbursed to cities to help offset reductions in
4 personal property taxes?

5 A. Yes, there's a replacement mechanism.

6 Q. Do you have an understanding that under
7 the legislation, not all property is subject to the
8 reduction in personal property tax?

9 A. What's the question?

10 Q. Is there some property that would be
11 exempted from the reduction in personal property
12 tax under the legislation?

13 A. So in personal property, you have
14 commercial, industrial and utility. Utility
15 property is not exempt, would not be subject to the
16 reduction, and there's a -- and there's different
17 phase-outs of how commercial and industrial are
18 affected.

19 Q. Before today, you were never informed that
20 Mr. Evanko had characterized your forecast for the
21 reduction in personal property tax in the manner
22 that he did in his deposition, correct?

23 A. So I have had some conversations with my
24 lawyers about --

25 MR. STEWART: You can't talk about what you

1 talked to your lawyers about.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.

3 MR. STEWART: He can ask -- he can tip-toe
4 around the subject, and he will do that, but
5 that's -- there's a little dance we usually do.

6 BY MR. SMITH:

7 Q. Did you know before today that Mr. Evanko
8 characterized your personal property tax forecast
9 reduction as ridiculous?

10 MR. STEWART: You can answer that.

11 THE WITNESS: I did not know he said it was
12 ridiculous.

13 BY MR. SMITH:

14 Q. Okay. Then Mr. Evanko is asked on
15 Page 224, he's asked about this -- the reassessment
16 that's going to be completed in 2020. Do you see
17 that?

18 A. Uh-huh.

19 Q. The planned reappraisal study?

20 A. Yeah.

21 Q. And he's asked and you could not have
22 given them an estimate of how much to reduce
23 taxable value based on this study because you,
24 yourself don't know which way it's going to come
25 out, correct? And he answers I don't know where --

1 in value as a result of the planned reappraisal
2 study, correct?

3 MR. STEWART: Are you asking her that's what
4 this says?

5 BY MR. SMITH:

6 Q. That's what his testimony is, correct?

7 MR. STEWART: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: Can you say that one more time?

9 BY MR. SMITH:

10 Q. Mr. Evanko's testimony is that he doesn't
11 know what the outcome of the reappraisal study will
12 be in terms of whether property values will
13 increase or decrease, correct?

14 A. It says here, yeah, he does not know how
15 the reappraisal study will come out, correct.

16 Q. And in fact, nobody knows how the
17 reappraisal study is going to come out in terms of
18 effect on property values and assessments in the
19 city, correct?

20 A. Nobody knows for certain.

21 Q. Would you agree that Mr. Evanko would
22 certainly be one of the most knowledgeable people
23 in terms of assessed values in the City of Detroit?

24 MR. STEWART: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: Mr. Evanko is knowledgeable of

1 how it's going to come out next year. 2020 is a
2 lifetime.

3 And then he's asked okay, and he testifies
4 you know, I'll be collecting Social Security living
5 in North Carolina. And then he's asked I know
6 you're thinking about two years. I know where your
7 head is at, but you agree with my statement. You
8 did not provide them with -- you didn't tell them
9 this is about what it's going to look like when the
10 reappraisal study is done, correct? Absolutely
11 correct.

12 Do you see that testimony?

13 A. Uh-huh.

14 Q. Before today, were you aware of that
15 testimony by Mr. Evanko?

16 A. I was not aware of this testimony, no.

17 Q. Okay. It's true that Mr. Evanko did not
18 provide you with the assumption you use in your
19 forecast regarding a reduction in assessed value as
20 a result of the planned reappraisal, correct?

21 A. Mr. Evanko did not provide the assumption
22 that was used in our forecast.

23 Q. And in fact, Mr. Evanko's testimony is
24 that he doesn't know what the outcome will be in
25 terms of whether property will increase or decrease

1 the assessed values in Detroit.

2 BY MR. SMITH:

3 Q. In fact, Mr. Evanko is responsible for the
4 assessed values in Detroit as the assessor,
5 correct?

6 A. He is.

7 Q. And in fact, Mr. Evanko would be one of
8 the most knowledgeable people about assessed values
9 in Detroit, correct?

10 MR. STEWART: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: He is a knowledgeable person.

12 BY MR. SMITH:

13 Q. Mr. Evanko has been dealing with assessed
14 values in Detroit for much longer than you have,
15 correct?

16 A. My understanding is he joined the City in
17 January.

18 Q. Do you know where he joined from?

19 A. I think I was told he was at Wayne County.

20 Q. And at Wayne County, he would be dealing
21 with assessed values in the city of Detroit,
22 correct?

23 A. I don't know what he did.

24 Q. I'd like to go back to your report now.

25 A. Okay.

1 Q. Page 9 you talk about how you had assumed
 2 there would be a reduction -- well, you talk about
 3 the planned reassessment at the top of Page 9,
 4 correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And you used your judgment in order to
 7 come up with the figure you used to reduce planned
 8 assessment as -- assessed values as a result of the
 9 planned assessment, correct?

10 A. No. I only looked at taxable value, so I
 11 took into account the City's activities and its
 12 impact on taxable value.

13 Q. Okay. So you used your judgment in
 14 developing the assumption about what taxable value
 15 would be under the reappraisal study that's
 16 planned, correct?

17 A. So after the reappraisals and the
 18 reassessments, I took those into account in
 19 thinking about what happens to taxable value.

20 Q. And did the value you used to reduce
 21 taxable value as a result of the reappraisal study,
 22 was that based on your judgment?

23 A. The parameter I used was based on my
 24 judgment after the reappraisal study.

25 Q. Okay. On Page 9 down under C, you say

1 the effect on improved services on property tax
 2 revenues, correct?

3 A. Did not look at the relationship between
 4 improved city services and property tax revenue.

5 Q. Do you agree it's at least theoretically
 6 possible that improving city services could
 7 increase property tax revenues?

8 A. I agree it's theoretically possible.

9 Q. Page 9 to 10 you talk about the growth
 10 rates after recessions. Do you see that?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And you mention historical data. What
 13 historical data did you look at?

14 A. So I pulled historical taxable value
 15 information from the State Tax Commission for
 16 Detroit.

17 Q. And then did you use your judgment to set
 18 the various growth rates that you assume in your
 19 forecasting model?

20 A. Yeah. So I performed some analysis and
 21 then used that analysis to select growth rates.

22 Q. When you say analysis, what calculation
 23 did you perform?

24 A. So in this case, looking at historical
 25 taxable value and trends and seeing during

1 that the reinvestment scenario estimates
 2 improvements to the tax base on collections of the
 3 general operations and economic environment of the
 4 city improved during the 10-year period. Do you
 5 see that?

6 A. Uh-huh.

7 Q. And the City anticipates that improved
 8 economic conditions will increase property values,
 9 correct?

10 A. You said the City?

11 Q. Yeah, the City.

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. Well, do you anticipate that -- do you
 14 anticipate that improved economic conditions will
 15 increase property values?

16 A. So this scenario does say that if the
 17 economy in Detroit improves, we would see
 18 improvement to taxable values in the city. We
 19 would see improved property tax revenue.

20 Q. And under your model, improving services
 21 in the city should improve property tax revenues,
 22 correct?

23 A. We didn't look at services offered by the
 24 City.

25 Q. Okay. So you did no analysis to determine

1 different periods on different tax bases what's
 2 happened in the city.

3 Q. For any of the historical trends that you
 4 talk about in your report, did you actually come up
 5 with a mathematical formula to specify the trend,
 6 or did you eyeball it?

7 MR. STEWART: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: So I mean, I would type in a
 9 compounded annual growth rate formula and calculate
 10 the compound annual growth rate, things like that.
 11 That's a formula, I guess.

12 BY MR. SMITH:

13 Q. But in order to do the trend, I mean, how
 14 did you figure out what the trend was? Did you do
 15 any mathematical analysis to determine the trend or
 16 not?

17 A. So I would calculate certain things using
 18 the data.

19 Q. What kind of things?

20 A. Like the compounded annual growth rate
 21 during certain periods.

22 Q. Did you just take an average of certain
 23 number of years or --

24 A. So I would look at a time period, and then
 25 I would calculate -- so compounded annual growth

1 the metro area, not Detroit specifically, so this
 2 was a chance to have some Detroit-specific data.

3 Q. Okay. And why didn't you look -- I mean,
 4 did you use the data to -- as a number input in
 5 your model? How was it used?

6 A. How was it used? So again, we did the
 7 analysis to see sort of where home prices were in
 8 the city and compare it to the City of Detroit's
 9 published state equalized value and taxable values,
 10 and then I was able to use that information in
 11 helping think through what was going to happen to
 12 residential taxable value in the forecast, so it
 13 helped me select my growth rates.

14 Q. Okay. And so the -- if you had looked at
 15 a different period of time, your growth rate
 16 assumptions would be different, correct?

17 A. Well, I did look at different periods of
 18 time. So I looked at longer periods and shorter
 19 periods, and I -- ultimately this information was
 20 used to help think through, you know, what were
 21 home prices -- average home prices five, 10, 15,
 22 20 years ago, and what would their taxable value
 23 look like today versus where would it be reset if
 24 the home sold today. And so that helped me think
 25 about well, how much would taxable value have to

1 Q. And did you use your judgment to pick
 2 whether the rate would change in a given year for
 3 all the years that are covered by your forecast?

4 A. Well, so the goal here in using -- so
 5 using some data to think about what's likely to
 6 happen to taxable value and then looking at sort of
 7 overall how much -- looking at the data, how much
 8 do I think taxable value on the residential side
 9 needs to drop and then spreading that out and
 10 thinking through how many years is it going to
 11 take, is this process going to take and then
 12 applying a growth rate for -- to those years.

13 Q. When you say figuring out how much
 14 residential value needs to drop, what do you mean?

15 A. So doing an analysis looking at -- in this
 16 case -- so overassessments impact taxable value in
 17 the following way. If your house is overassessed,
 18 your state equalized value is going to be higher
 19 than your capped value, and you're going to be
 20 paying taxable value equal to your capped value.
 21 If your assessment falls and your equalized value
 22 goes below your capped value, then your taxable
 23 value would fall, so it would be the lesser of the
 24 two.

25 And so I've now forgotten what you've

1 fall, how much is state equalized value likely to
 2 fall and go below -- need to go below the capped
 3 value and therefore, affect taxable value.

4 All of that is to say I looked at various
 5 time periods and used that to think through what
 6 needed to happen to taxable value in the forecast
 7 for residential property.

8 Q. Okay. If the property values don't fall
 9 below capped value, I mean, what's the effect of
 10 that?

11 A. I don't understand your question.

12 Q. Let me ask a better question. Down at the
 13 bottom of Page 13, you say that your forecast
 14 assumes a reduction in residential taxable value of
 15 between negative 2 and 4 percent per year between
 16 fiscal year 2016 and fiscal year 2020?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Is that assumption based on your judgment?

19 A. I used my judgment to select those rates,
 20 yes.

21 Q. And did those rates change over each year?

22 A. There are some years that I think have the
 23 same rate, so it varied depending on -- some of
 24 them are the same. Some of them aren't. I can't
 25 remember year to year what I picked.

1 asked me after having gone through that.

2 Q. Right now the county isn't -- right now
 3 the county is saying that the properties are not
 4 overassessed, correct?

5 MR. STEWART: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: I don't know if the -- the county
 7 has given it an equalization factor of 1.

8 BY MR. SMITH:

9 Q. Okay. And that means in the county's
 10 view, the property is not overassessed, correct?

11 MR. STEWART: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: Through their process, the county
 13 has given them an equalization factor of 1.

14 BY MR. SMITH:

15 Q. Okay. And that means the county
 16 determines that the property is not overassessed
 17 because if it thought it was overassessed, it
 18 wouldn't give them a value of 1, correct?

19 A. So the process is that if the county
 20 thinks that the property is overassessed, it would
 21 not give it a factor of 1.

22 Q. 15 percent value at the bottom of the
 23 page, that's the value that you assumed for the
 24 effect on taxable value from the reappraisal study
 25 that's planned in three -- that's going to take

1 three to five years, correct?
 2 MR. STEWART: Sorry. Where are we again?
 3 THE WITNESS: Where are we?
 4 BY MR. SMITH:
 5 Q. Bottom of Page 14. The 15 percent drop in
 6 residential taxable value is the value you assumed
 7 based on that reappraisal study that's going to
 8 take place in the future; is that correct?
 9 A. Yes.
 10 Q. And then over on the top of Page 15, you
 11 say that your assumption of the 15 percent decline
 12 would bring residential taxable value to
 13 approximately half of its fiscal 2013 level. Is
 14 that accurate?
 15 A. That's accurate.
 16 Q. And so based on your assumption regarding
 17 the effect of the reappraisal study, you're saying
 18 that the taxable value of the property in the city
 19 would be reduced in half, correct?
 20 A. No. I said the residential value would be
 21 half.
 22 Q. As a result of your assumption about the
 23 reappraisal study, you're concluding that the
 24 residential taxable value will be reduced in half,
 25 correct?

1 the City is going to do in the future in terms of
 2 evaluation of industrial or commercial property?
 3 Like have you investigated its plans or not?
 4 A. I have not talked to the City about their
 5 plans about how they're assessing commercial
 6 industrial property.
 7 Q. And the reason you don't have the big drop
 8 in taxable value for a commercial and industrial
 9 property is because you're not using this
 10 assumption of a reappraisal for those categories of
 11 property, correct?
 12 A. So in this case, I don't have the
 13 reappraisal process resulting in a huge drop in
 14 commercial and industrial taxable value.
 15 Q. But you do have a huge drop in taxable
 16 value for the residential property based on the
 17 planned reappraisal study that's going to take
 18 place over the next several years, correct?
 19 MR. STEWART: Objection.
 20 THE WITNESS: Can you say that again?
 21 BY MR. SMITH:
 22 Q. You do have a huge drop in the taxable
 23 value for residential property based on this
 24 reappraisal study that's planned to take place in
 25 the future over the next several years, correct?

1 A. It will be half of its fiscal year 2013
 2 level, the residential taxable value.
 3 Q. For commercial or industrial property, how
 4 did you go about figuring out taxable value for
 5 those?
 6 A. So taxable value, how did I go about
 7 figuring out? So commercial and industrial taxable
 8 value, I pulled historic information as the
 9 starting point and then applied growth rates for
 10 the forecast period.
 11 Q. For commercial and industrial property,
 12 you didn't factor in the potential of reappraisal
 13 during the forecast period, correct?
 14 A. So during the next few years, I have
 15 commercial and industrial property taxable value
 16 declining. How property is evaluated for
 17 commercial industrial, I did not have a big factor
 18 like I did with residential.
 19 Q. Do you know how the value of commercial
 20 industrial property is set by the City?
 21 A. For personal, I understand. For real
 22 property, I know the methods they can choose from.
 23 I don't know exactly what the City has been
 24 selecting.
 25 Q. Do you know -- I mean, do you know what

1 A. So I have a 15 percent drop in -- well, I
 2 have a 15 percent drop between fiscal year 2019 and
 3 2020 on the residential side because of the
 4 reappraisal.
 5 Q. And that 15 percent drop results in wiping
 6 out half of the taxable value of residential
 7 property in the city, correct?
 8 A. When you take into account what the
 9 taxable value is by 2020 compared to 2013, it's
 10 going to be half of the 2013 level.
 11 Q. And that's in addition to drops in taxable
 12 value that have already occurred before 2013,
 13 correct?
 14 A. The drops of taxable value before 2013?
 15 Q. Yeah. Before 2013, taxable value already
 16 decreased, correct?
 17 A. Yes.
 18 Q. And so your forecasted 50 percent
 19 reduction is in addition to the reduction that has
 20 already occurred in taxable value in the city for
 21 residential property, correct?
 22 A. There have been reductions in taxable
 23 value, and we have continued reductions occurring
 24 because of reassessments and reappraisals, yes.
 25 Q. And the continued reduction in taxable

1 value, that's because of actions the City is going
2 to take in the future, correct?

3 A. So some of the reductions in the
4 residential taxable value are based on planned
5 actions of the City, and some of them are not.

6 Q. Which ones are not?

7 A. Well, so as detailed earlier, there are,
8 you know, things that are at -- causing taxable
9 value on the residential side to decline that
10 aren't based on the study, so population declines,
11 etcetera.

12 Q. The greatest factor in reducing taxable
13 value in your analysis are actions the City is
14 going to take in the future, correct?

15 A. Can you say that again?

16 Q. The greatest factor causing a reduction in
17 taxable value in your forecast is actions that the
18 City is going to take in the future, correct?

19 A. So the largest drop in taxable value in
20 the forecast have been -- so in fiscal year 2015,
21 the City lowered assessments, and so that created a
22 large drop in taxable value for residential, and so
23 that's an action of the City. And then the planned
24 reappraisal study is a second large -- results in a
25 second drop in taxable value, and it is also an

1 reassessments came out already, were you aware
2 there was a press conference where the politicians
3 all came out and said look, we're lowering your
4 property taxes? Were you aware of that?

5 A. I was aware there was a press conference.

6 Q. And you're aware the politicians in the
7 city of Detroit were saying that the reappraisal
8 that they did which lowered property taxes was done
9 to benefit the residents of the city, correct?

10 A. I haven't read anything about what city
11 officials are saying about the reassessments.

12 Q. You agree that Detroit is planning to do a
13 reassessment even though Wayne County is saying the
14 property is properly assessed, correct?

15 A. I don't know if Wayne County is saying the
16 properties are properly assessed. They're giving
17 it a state equalization factor of 1.

18 Q. Okay. Even though -- even though Wayne
19 County is giving the property an equalization
20 factor of 1, which means it's not over or
21 underassessed, the City, nonetheless, is going to
22 go in and reappraise the property, correct?

23 MR. STEWART: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: So my understanding is the City
25 is taking -- hiring a group to parcel by parcel

1 action of the City, yes.

2 Q. And do you have any idea what factors were
3 taken into account in assessing property in the
4 city?

5 A. So I know theoretically what they could be
6 using. I don't know what they are actually using.

7 Q. So you don't know what factors the City
8 used in factoring assessments in the reappraisal it
9 has done so far, correct?

10 A. I do not know the specifics.

11 Q. And you do not know what factors the City
12 may use in its planned reappraisal study in the
13 future, correct?

14 A. So the reappraisal study, they're hiring
15 an outside firm to do the reappraisal, so the City
16 will take that information. I don't know exactly
17 how they're going to use it.

18 Q. I mean, part of the reason the City seems
19 to be reducing assessments is basically for
20 political reasons to reduce people's property
21 taxes, correct?

22 MR. STEWART: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: I have no idea.

24 BY MR. SMITH:

25 Q. Well, do you know that -- when the

1 reassess the property in the city.

2 BY MR. SMITH:

3 Q. Page 17, you note that much of the
4 industrial personal property qualifies for a
5 Renaissance Zone exemption. Do you see that?

6 MR. STEWART: What paragraph?

7 MR. SMITH: Up at the top of Page 17.

8 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

9 BY MR. SMITH:

10 Q. Do you know what percent of the industrial
11 personal property is subject to a Renaissance Zone
12 exemption?

13 A. Off the top of my head, no. Let me see.
14 I think about two-thirds of it. This is just
15 without my spreadsheet in front of me.

16 Q. During the historical period that you've
17 looked at, did property tax rates change?

18 MR. STEWART: Could I have the question reread,
19 please.

20 (Whereupon, the record was
21 read as requested.)

22 THE WITNESS: Which specific property tax
23 rates?

24 BY MR. SMITH:

25 Q. Well, did any of them change?

1 A. I don't know.
 2 Q. Well, you mentioned that there were some
 3 years where the rates were above 20, and I'm just
 4 wondering whether there were other changes that you
 5 noticed in property tax rates in the historical
 6 data that you examined?

7 A. So I don't know for the years where I
 8 pulled taxable value what the property tax rates
 9 were in those given years year by year. I don't
 10 know.

11 Q. You're assuming that no property rate
 12 changes will change for the next 40 years, correct?

13 A. The analysis done as such keeps the tax
 14 rate constant.

15 Q. And it does that for 40 years, correct?

16 A. Well, so we did a 10-year forecast where
 17 we kept our tax rates at current level, current law
 18 levels and then extrapolated for another 30 years,
 19 and so that, in effect, we're sort of holding tax
 20 rates constant.

21 Q. And you're doing that, in effect, for
 22 40 years, correct?

23 A. For 40 years in total.

24 Q. Have you ever done a forecast before when
 25 you assumed the tax rates would remain constant for

1 revenues. You haven't done any forecasts of
 2 overall tax revenues to a city or other government
 3 entity for as long as 10 years, correct?

4 A. I can't remember if for the Business
 5 Leaders for Michigan project, which was forecasting
 6 State of Michigan tax revenue, how long our time
 7 frame was. That was forecasted at least five
 8 years. I don't know how much longer if I did -- if
 9 I did beyond that.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. So I don't know.

12 Q. And that was just the sales -- was that
 13 limited to certain taxes in Michigan, or was it all
 14 taxes?

15 A. In that case, it was limited to a few
 16 taxes.

17 Q. When you did the Flint, Michigan forecast,
 18 how many years was that?

19 A. Five years.

20 Q. Did you assume that tax rates would all
 21 stay constant for five years in Flint, Michigan?

22 A. So I think as I said earlier, they do have
 23 certain millages expiring, and so anything that was
 24 in current law we took into account. So if a
 25 millage was expiring, then we would add it back in

1 as long as 40 years?

2 A. I think this is the only time I've done a
 3 40-year forecast.

4 Q. And is it the only time you've done a
 5 10-year tax forecast?

6 A. I have done 10-year forecasts of tax
 7 revenue for specific projects for individual
 8 clients.

9 Q. Okay. But you had never done a forecast
 10 for as long as 10 years trying to forecast revenues
 11 for a city or other government entity, correct?

12 A. I don't think so. I think just Detroit.

13 Q. And I mean, in the other -- what's the
 14 longest tax forecast that you've done for a city or
 15 any other governmental entity other than the
 16 Detroit one?

17 A. Well, so most of my work that I've done
 18 I've forecasted tax revenues to a municipality, but
 19 I wasn't working for the municipality. So I've
 20 done forecasts for -- I guess I've done forecasts
 21 for projects involving taxes to the State of
 22 Michigan. You know, those have been five to
 23 10 years.

24 Q. I mean, my question is not about
 25 individual projects. I'm talking about overall tax

1 for parts of the analysis where it was relevant.

2 Q. And did you look at corporate tax at all
 3 in your Flint, Michigan analysis?

4 A. So we looked at income taxes.

5 Q. And you know the corporate income tax
 6 rates changed recently, correct?

7 A. Corporate tax rates have changed, yes.

8 Q. Did you factor that into your analysis, or
 9 was that not during the period of your analysis?

10 A. So anytime there were changes that had
 11 been enacted, they were taken into account. So if
 12 there was something on any of the taxes, if they
 13 were by law slated to expire, decrease, increase,
 14 we would incorporate that.

15 Q. And do you advise about various tax rates
 16 in different states in the course of your practice?

17 A. What do you mean by advise?

18 Q. I mean do you give advice or do analysis
 19 of tax rates in different states or what they are?

20 MR. STEWART: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: So part of our practice, we will
 22 look at effective tax rates that various industries
 23 are facing in certain states. We do a tax burden
 24 study where we look at the tax environment for all
 25 50 states. So we don't offer specific advice about

1 whether you should lower or increase your taxes.
 2 We will do an analysis of what businesses are
 3 paying.

4 BY MR. SMITH:

5 Q. But based on the surveys Ernst & Young
 6 does, you know that tax rates change in states on
 7 various taxes, correct?

8 A. Yes, tax rates change from time to time.

9 Q. And so -- well, do you update your survey
 10 every year, or how often do you update that?

11 A. We have sort of complex models, so we
 12 every few years will go through, and we will update
 13 our models, our state-by-state models to take into
 14 account tax rate changes that have happened.

15 Q. Okay. And you know that tax rates
 16 frequently change on various taxes in the states,
 17 correct?

18 A. I don't know about frequently, but when
 19 tax rates change and have been -- gone into effect,
 20 we put them in our model.

21 Q. Okay. Have there ever been any states
 22 where tax rates didn't change for 10 or 40 years in
 23 your survey that you can identify?

24 A. I don't know off the top of my head if
 25 there are states that have had the same tax rate

1 A. The state level?

2 Q. Yeah.

3 A. Has the state? I can't remember if the
 4 state personal income tax rate has -- I think it --
 5 yes, it has changed. I mean, so yeah, there are
 6 tax rates that have changed. New taxes have been
 7 passed and old taxes eliminated.

8 Q. What kind of taxes have been passed in
 9 Michigan in the last 10 years?

10 A. So we're going back to what, 2004, so the
 11 single business tax was replaced with the Michigan
 12 business tax, which then was replaced by the
 13 corporate income tax.

14 Q. Has the sales tax changed in Michigan in
 15 the last 10 years, if you know?

16 A. I don't think so.

17 Q. Page 18 at the bottom, you've got this
 18 20 percent reduction or 20 percent of the property
 19 tax revenue from industrial and commercial property
 20 will not be replaced by a new funding mechanism.

21 Do you see that statement?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And that was an assumption you made based
 24 on your judgment?

25 MR. STEWART: Objection.

1 for 10 or 40 years. I don't know.

2 Q. You can't identify anyone sitting here
 3 today?

4 A. I don't know. I would have to look at the
 5 data and then come back and tell you.

6 Q. You can't identify anyone sitting here,
 7 any state where tax rates haven't changed for 10 or
 8 40 years sitting here today, correct?

9 A. There could be. I just don't know off the
 10 top of my head.

11 Q. And certainly you know that tax rates have
 12 changed in the last 10 years in Detroit? I mean in
 13 Michigan, correct?

14 A. Tax rates for various taxes have changed
 15 in the last 10 years, yes.

16 Q. What kind of tax rates have changed in the
 17 last 10 years in Michigan?

18 A. So Michigan's taxes on business have
 19 changed in the last 10 years.

20 Q. And have corporate tax rates changed in
 21 the last 10 years?

22 A. Well --

23 Q. I mean, have individual tax rates,
 24 personal tax rates changed over the last 10 years
 25 in Michigan?

1 THE WITNESS: So we looked at the -- looked at
 2 the set of laws that had been passed about the
 3 personal property tax, and I looked at the Michigan
 4 Senate Fiscal Agency memo. And they put together
 5 an estimate of how much of the lost revenue would
 6 be replaced by various funding mechanisms, so that
 7 was used to help me select how much revenue Detroit
 8 would lose with the repeal and then to factor in
 9 the likelihood that voters approve the referendum
 10 next month.

11 BY MR. SMITH:

12 Q. And was that a statewide estimate, though,
 13 of how much revenue would be replaced?

14 A. It was a statewide.

15 Q. So there wasn't any estimate of how much
 16 revenue Detroit might lose from personal property
 17 tax legislation that you've ever seen, correct?

18 A. I have not seen a Detroit-specific
 19 estimate.

20 Q. Other than what Mr. Evanko provided in his
 21 deposition, correct?

22 MR. STEWART: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: Did he provide a specific number?

24 BY MR. SMITH:

25 Q. Yeah. He was talking about .7 percent.

1 MR. STEWART: No, he did not. He said that's
 2 tax base. He used the word tax base. You just
 3 misquoted him. She's talking about the personal
 4 property receipts reduction. He was talking about
 5 the overall tax base.

6 BY MR. SMITH:

7 Q. Okay. So you've never seen any estimate
 8 for personal property tax receipts reduction in
 9 anything you've seen, correct?

10 A. I have not seen anything that is
 11 Detroit-specific.

12 Q. How did you pick -- I think you gave it a
 13 50/50 chance of passing. How did you pick that
 14 number?

15 A. So doing the analysis, we started doing
 16 the work, like I said, over a year ago, and the
 17 referendum, the ballot was coming up a year later.
 18 And you know, just like most things, there's a
 19 certain probability it will go through. At that
 20 point, we had to select a probability that 50/50
 21 was reasonable.

22 Q. I mean, you didn't do any investigation
 23 into the likelihood of passage in the legislature
 24 of the personal property legislation?

25 MR. STEWART: Objection.

1 that uncertainty is to assign a probability and
 2 then to multiply that probability by sort of an
 3 average reduction, what's a reasonable reduction in
 4 property tax revenue, and so that's how we arrived
 5 at our estimate.

6 BY MR. SMITH:

7 Q. You mentioned reasonable a lot of times.
 8 Can you give me your definition of reasonable in
 9 terms of forecasting?

10 A. In this case, reasonable is realistic or
 11 likely.

12 Q. And you would agree with me there could be
 13 more than one reasonable forecast for the City of
 14 Detroit, correct?

15 A. I would agree with that.

16 Q. Page 18, Paragraph ix (a), you've got some
 17 collection rates for residential property,
 18 commercial property and industrial and utility
 19 property. Were those assumptions based on your
 20 judgement?

21 MR. STEWART: Which page?

22 MR. SMITH: 19.

23 BY MR. SMITH:

24 Q. On Page 19, you made -- you have some
 25 numbers for the collection rates you used of

1 THE WITNESS: Well, by this point, the
 2 legislature had already passed it, so it's whether
 3 or not the voters approve it. And so you know, you
 4 have to say, you know, I follow the press, and at
 5 that point, some people are for it. Some people
 6 are against it. So 50/50 seemed reasonable.

7 I mean, at the end of the day, we needed
 8 to -- we were trying to come up with a reasonable
 9 method of thinking about what personal property tax
 10 revenue the City would lose, and this seemed like
 11 the best method.

12 BY MR. SMITH:

13 Q. At the end of the day, nobody knows
 14 whether this new legislation is going to pass about
 15 personal property taxes; is that correct?

16 MR. STEWART: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: I guess we'll know in two weeks,
 18 a week and a half.

19 BY MR. SMITH:

20 Q. Right now, though, I mean, when you put
 21 together your expert opinions, you had no way of
 22 knowing whether the personal property tax
 23 legislation would pass, correct?

24 MR. STEWART: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: Yes. So the way of dealing with

1 50 percent for residential property, 3 percent for
 2 commercial property, 87 percent for industrial
 3 property and 100 percent for utility property.

4 Were those assumptions you made based on
 5 your judgment?

6 A. These were after looking at data provided
 7 by the City on their collection rates by type of
 8 property, I then selected those rates.

9 Q. So in the baseline scenario, are you
 10 assuming that the City is not going to collect more
 11 than 50 percent of its residential property tax?

12 A. For part of it. So I kept the current
 13 situation of about 50 percent collections on
 14 non-delinquent for residential. I kept that
 15 assumption for the next four years of the forecast,
 16 and I increased it after that.

17 Q. And why was it four years that you kept
 18 that assumption rather than five or six years?

19 A. It's coinciding with the reappraisal
 20 study. So when the results go on, the forecast has
 21 things stabilizing on the residential side.

22 Q. So it's your belief that the reappraisal
 23 study will result in the stabilization of property
 24 tax, or let me ask you another question. Is it
 25 your view that the reappraisal study is going to

1 judgment?

2 A. So the forecast -- so the 10-year forecast
 3 we followed the SEMCOG forecast, and then for the
 4 30-year extrapolation followed SEMCOG up until
 5 2029. Both us and SEMCOG have in 2029-30 no
 6 population growth or sort of a leveling. And then
 7 in going forward, the .2 percent and the .3 percent
 8 that you mentioned, I did analysis of sort of metro
 9 areas that had experienced a decade of population
 10 decline and what growth they had afterwards, and
 11 that analysis led me to select those two growth
 12 rates.

13 Q. Does SEMCOG not project population values
 14 after 2029?

15 A. SEMCOG has a projection until 2050.

16 Q. Why didn't you use the SEMCOG projection
 17 during the entire forecast period?

18 A. So SEMCOG, they prepared their forecast
 19 before the bankruptcy, before the reinvestment
 20 scenarios were put together, and so the 30-year
 21 extrapolation is off of the -- with the
 22 reinvestment scenario, and so SEMCOG hadn't taken
 23 that into account, and so we decided that we needed
 24 to deviate from it slightly going after 2029.

25 Q. Do you project higher or lower population

1 unlike kind of the old statutory revenue sharing in
 2 Michigan where there was a way that money was
 3 supposed to be allocated, EVIP doesn't have that
 4 sort of formula.

5 Q. Okay. Did you hold the rate of revenue
 6 sharing constant over time in your forecast?

7 A. Only for the EVIP portion.

8 Q. And we know that the EVIP portion of state
 9 revenue sharing will not be constant during the 10
 10 or 40-year period you forecast, correct?

11 A. We don't know that.

12 Q. I mean, if you were sitting here today,
 13 would your -- has there been any two years when the
 14 EVIP portion of revenue sharing has been the same?

15 A. EVIP has been around for three years, so
 16 no, they haven't been the same each year.

17 Q. Okay. We know that the EVIP portion,
 18 because it's based on a number of factors, is not
 19 going to be the same each year, correct?

20 A. You're right. It's not probably going to
 21 be the same each year. I don't know for certain.

22 Q. You've assumed a 2 to 3 percent sales tax
 23 growth rate. What was that based on?

24 A. Based on what the Michigan Department of
 25 Treasury forecasts.

1 growth factors than SEMCOG for after 2029?

2 A. So in some years, our growth actually
 3 matches theirs. Overall, our population forecasts
 4 are slightly higher than SEMCOG.

5 Q. On Page 22, you have a rate of increase
 6 for -- of 3.4 percent of taxable value in 2024 and
 7 2025, and then -- I mean, what's that based on?

8 A. So as we discussed earlier, I use the
 9 Congressional Budget Office forecasts, and they go
 10 out 10 years. And so I continued sort of -- looked
 11 to see what overall is happening with home prices
 12 and used that to help think through if Detroit, we
 13 have at the end of our 10-year, picking up and
 14 rebounding what's a reasonable growth rate in the
 15 first part of our 30-year extrapolation.

16 Q. Okay. If you go over to Page 24, in the
 17 middle of the page, you have a statement that
 18 there's no set formula for EVIP payments for the
 19 City of Detroit. That's a correct statement,
 20 correct?

21 A. So what is meant by that is there's no --
 22 there's no, you know, statutory formula or any
 23 government formula for what needs to be allocated
 24 to the City of Detroit. There are components of
 25 EVIP, things that they are supposed to meet, but

1 Q. Okay. Is the treasury -- do they forecast
 2 it for years after 2025?

3 A. They do not.

4 Q. Okay. What period of time do they
 5 forecast it for?

6 A. So the information that I used, you know,
 7 started with actuals, so it probably started with
 8 fiscal year 2012, and then it went all the way to
 9 2025.

10 Q. The -- you know that there's a forecasted
 11 increase in income tax revenues, correct?

12 MR. STEWART: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't have the disclosure
 14 statement in front of me, so I don't know exactly
 15 what the income tax revenues are for the 10 and
 16 40-year off the top of my head.

17 BY MR. SMITH:

18 Q. I mean, do you know if they increase -- do
 19 you know if Ernst & Young is forecasting an
 20 increase or decrease for income tax revenues over
 21 10 or 40 years?

22 A. So an increase compared to what? So year
 23 on year?

24 Q. Compared to 2013. I mean, what's the
 25 trend in income tax? Do you know if it's

1 increasing or decreasing under the Ernst & Young
2 forecast?

3 A. So we have income tax -- so I'm going off
4 memory. We have income taxes falling for a period
5 and then growing for another period.

6 Q. Okay. And corporate tax, is that similar
7 that the Ernst & Young forecasts have a growth in
8 corporate tax?

9 A. I don't want to comment on it based on
10 memory.

11 Q. Okay. On Page 25, you note that your
12 10-year forecast includes the legislature-approved
13 fiscal year 2015 revenue sharing payments for
14 Detroit. Do you see that? Do you see where I'm
15 at?

16 A. Yes, I do.

17 Q. Your prior forecasts, you did not use the
18 fiscal year 2005 revenue sharing payments for
19 Detroit, correct?

20 MR. STEWART: Do you mean 2015?

21 MR. SMITH: 2015.

22 THE WITNESS: So previous iterations, we always
23 used what the most recent current law amount was,
24 so the legislature-approved fiscal year 2015 in the
25 first week and a half of June. And so in the

1 around there.

2 Q. Okay. And so using your assumption of
3 current law remaining unchanged led you, in your
4 prior forecast, to be off by approximately 35 to
5 \$40 million compared to your current forecast,
6 correct?

7 A. So using current law, we had planned
8 for -- so we used current law, which was lower than
9 the fiscal year 2015 amount.

10 Q. And using -- the assumption of using
11 current law led you to predict that revenue sharing
12 would be 35 to \$40 million lower than you're now
13 predicting, correct?

14 A. So using current law led us to -- so we
15 can compare what did we predict for 2015 compared
16 to -- 2015 versus actual. And so using current
17 law, we were slightly below. We don't know what's
18 going to happen to EVIP. It could be eliminated
19 next year, so this is --

20 Q. And it could be increased by 100 percent?

21 A. It could be increased by 100 percent. You
22 never know, so this is a good way to do it.

23 Q. And my question is but using the
24 assumption of no change in current law led you to
25 underestimate state revenue sharing by 35 to

1 latest version, we incorporated fiscal year 2015
2 once it had been passed.

3 BY MR. SMITH:

4 Q. And did the incorporation of fiscal year
5 2015 revenue sharing payments materially increase
6 your forecast for the state revenue sharing?

7 A. What do you mean by materially?

8 Q. Well, do you know how much -- did it
9 increase it?

10 A. So the EVIP payment to Detroit did go up
11 between 2014 and 2015.

12 Q. And do you know how much it did?

13 A. It went up by almost 4 million.

14 Q. And then for periods after that, did you
15 use the 2015 rate?

16 A. We used the higher 2015 amount.

17 Q. And what additional amounts did using the
18 higher 2015 rate add to your forecast compared to
19 the last time you did it?

20 A. Somewhere between 35, 40 million.

21 Q. Okay. So incorporating the fiscal year
22 2015 revenue sharing payment into your forecast
23 increased revenue sharing by 35 to \$40 million; is
24 that correct?

25 A. Going off the top of my head, but it's

1 \$40 million in your last projection compared to
2 this projection, correct?

3 MR. STEWART: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say underestimate.
5 The forecasts differ, and you're right. So using
6 this new assumption, we are forecasting a higher
7 state sharing EVIP revenue to Detroit. It remains
8 to be seen whether that actually happens.

9 BY MR. SMITH:

10 Q. There's no way we know what the actual
11 revenue sharing numbers are going to be over the
12 period of your forecast?

13 A. That's right.

14 Q. They could be much higher, or the state
15 could completely eliminate revenue sharing,
16 correct?

17 A. They could eliminate EVIP and do something
18 else or not do anything at all.

19 Q. The state could -- the City of Detroit
20 could find itself in bankruptcy again within the
21 next 10 years, correct?

22 MR. STEWART: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: I have no idea.

24 BY MR. SMITH:

25 Q. Well, if the state eliminates revenue

1 sharing payments, the City could find itself in
2 bankruptcy again within the next 10 years, correct?

3 MR. STEWART: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I have no idea.

5 BY MR. SMITH:

6 Q. In fact, you have no idea what's going to
7 happen to the revenue streams that you measure in
8 your forecast. There's too many factors, correct?

9 MR. STEWART: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: So what we were asked to do was
11 using reasonable assumptions, put -- reasonable
12 meaning here realistic assumptions, put together a
13 10-year forecast and then a 30-year extrapolation
14 of that, and that's what we did.

15 BY MR. SMITH:

16 Q. But there's -- your forecast can be
17 changed depending on actions by various people in
18 either the state government or the City of Detroit
19 government, correct?

20 A. So -- so you know, the forecasting
21 exercise, as any forecasting entity would tell you,
22 you know, you forecast, and your forecasts can't
23 really incorporate, you know, whimsical, random,
24 whatever changes, large changes year to year, and
25 the forecast exercise, you acknowledge that at the

1 city of Detroit over the next 10 years depends on
2 the actions of state -- city officials in terms of
3 what they do with property tax assessments over the
4 next 10 years, correct?

5 A. I would say it's one factor.

6 Q. And so right now you don't even -- nobody
7 can know the identity of the officials that are
8 going to influence revenues for the City in the
9 next 10 years, correct?

10 A. It's possible that elected officials in
11 the future that we do not know affect revenues.

12 Q. And it's impossible to know what actions
13 officials in the city or state will take over the
14 next 10 years that could materially impact the
15 revenues to the City of Detroit, correct?

16 A. There are things that can happen that can
17 impact the revenue forecasts.

18 Q. And it's impossible to know what those
19 things are sitting here today?

20 A. The forecast takes into account what we
21 know, and there could be things we don't know.

22 Q. And one of the things we don't know is
23 what officials in the state or city will do which
24 could have a material impact on the revenues that
25 you forecast, correct?

1 get-go. And so you're right. Anything could
2 happen.

3 Q. And the revenues received for property
4 taxes and state revenue sharing depend on -- in the
5 future, the actual revenues depend on actions by
6 politically-elected state and city officials,
7 correct?

8 A. I don't think I understand the question.

9 Q. Okay. The state revenue sharing depends
10 on the -- in the future over the next 10 years
11 depends on the action of elected officials in
12 setting revenue sharing values, correct?

13 A. So a portion of it depends on the
14 legislature.

15 Q. And the largest portion of revenue sharing
16 depends on the actions of elected officials,
17 correct?

18 A. The largest share for Detroit, not for the
19 entire state.

20 Q. And similarly the property tax depends on
21 the action of officials in the city of Detroit in
22 terms of what they do with assessments over the
23 next 10 years, correct?

24 A. Say that again.

25 Q. The actual property tax revenues in the

1 MR. ALBERTS: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: Future officials could have some
3 impact on the revenues. That's a possibility.

4 BY MR. SMITH:

5 Q. I mean, they will have an impact. I mean,
6 the state officials set the revenue sharing level
7 really, right? We know for a fact that state
8 officials are going to impact the revenue available
9 to the City, correct?

10 A. We know that the legislature does set the
11 amount of EVIP for Detroit.

12 Q. And we know for a fact that city officials
13 are in charge of the assessments of property to the
14 City, correct?

15 A. City officials set the property assessment
16 rolls, yes.

17 Q. So we know as a matter of fact that
18 officials from the city and the state will take
19 unknown actions in the future that will have
20 unknown consequences for the revenues that you
21 estimate for the City of Detroit, correct?

22 A. It's possible that city officials, their
23 actions in the future affect the forecast.

24 Q. And the same as the state officials,
25 correct?

1 prepared based on the analysis I provided.
 2 Q. Okay. I'm just wondering how did you --
 3 did you forecast the real property, personal
 4 property and Renaissance Zone numbers that are
 5 contained in this spreadsheet?
 6 MR. STEWART: What exhibit number is this one?
 7 MR. SMITH: It's 18.
 8 THE WITNESS: So here this says change in
 9 assessed values, but it should be change in taxable
 10 value because these are -- so you see values, and
 11 these are taxable values, and these look like
 12 they're my numbers, yes.
 13 BY MR. SMITH:
 14 Q. Okay. Are the values that are under the
 15 heading change in assessed values your numbers?
 16 A. They are not how I prepared it. They've
 17 calculated those based on the taxable values, and I
 18 put together the taxable values that are shown
 19 here.
 20 Q. Okay. So the numbers that are in this
 21 spreadsheet are your numbers, but they're really
 22 taxable values and not assessed values; is that
 23 correct?
 24 A. They're taxable values, yes.
 25 Q. So somebody made an error in putting

1 picked based on your judgment for the growth rate,
 2 correct?
 3 A. After doing analysis, I selected growth
 4 rates for each of the tax bases, and I had to use
 5 my judgment to select those growth rates.
 6 Q. And when you said you did analysis, you
 7 didn't calculate any of those growth rates, did
 8 you?
 9 A. What do you mean by calculate?
 10 Q. You didn't calculate any of the growth
 11 rates using a mathematical formula, correct?
 12 A. Well, all of the analysis requires some
 13 sort of mathematical formula.
 14 Q. But those growth rates that appear in the
 15 spreadsheet, those aren't generated by a
 16 mathematical formula, correct?
 17 A. I guess it depends on mathematical
 18 formula. I mean, so math is used in the analysis.
 19 Q. But you didn't -- the numbers that are
 20 chosen for the growth rate are selected numbers.
 21 They're not numbers that are calculated using a
 22 mathematical formula, correct?
 23 MR. STEWART: Objection.
 24 THE WITNESS: Ultimately all of the numbers,
 25 the growth rates, I had to select.

1 together the description of this data in this
 2 spreadsheet, correct?
 3 A. They labeled the change in values in a way
 4 that I wouldn't have labeled it.
 5 Q. They labeled it in an inaccurate way,
 6 correct?
 7 A. I would have used a different label.
 8 Q. The taxable values shown here, they change
 9 from year to year, correct?
 10 A. Taxable values change from year to year,
 11 yes.
 12 Q. And can you tell me are all those changes
 13 numbers you picked based on your judgment?
 14 A. So as we talked about, to come to these
 15 total taxable value numbers for each year, I did
 16 analysis for each tax base and selected the growth
 17 rates, and that modeling feeds into those total
 18 values.
 19 Q. And the growth rates, all these growth
 20 rates vary in each year. Those were numbers you
 21 picked based on your judgment, correct?
 22 A. After doing analysis, I selected certain
 23 growth rates, and I used my judgment, yes.
 24 Q. So all these different numbers for each
 25 year and each category of property are numbers you

1 BY MR. SMITH:
 2 Q. If we look at the General Fund collection
 3 rates, do you see where that is on this spreadsheet
 4 down kind of towards the bottom?
 5 A. I do.
 6 Q. Did you select the General Fund collection
 7 rates that vary from year to year for your
 8 analysis?
 9 A. So those were built up, as we discussed
 10 earlier, by looking at the non-delinquent
 11 collection rates by each type of property and then
 12 also the payments from Wayne County. And so for
 13 historical years, I was able to see what the total
 14 collection rate was and then going forward had to
 15 decide what that collection rate would be, so I had
 16 to use my judgment to ultimately select the
 17 collection rate going forward.
 18 Q. Okay. So for future years, 2015 going
 19 forward, you selected the collection rates for the
 20 various categories based on your judgment, correct?
 21 A. Based on my analysis of the different
 22 components and how they were being collected, I did
 23 use my judgment to select those rates.
 24 Q. But the collection rates you used are
 25 numbers you selected, and they're not numbers that

1 couple conversations with Alvin Horhn, and I had
 2 had at least one conversation with Gary, and he had
 3 provided some data to me.

4 Q. Do you have any familiarity with the
 5 methods used by municipalities to estimate the
 6 revenue generated by a tax increase?

7 A. Do you have a specific example?

8 Q. Well, I'm wondering if you have any
 9 knowledge about what methodology municipalities use
 10 to estimate revenue from a tax increase?

11 A. I don't know what -- I think it would vary
 12 from city to city, so I don't know what a
 13 particular city would do.

14 Q. Okay. But do you know -- are you familiar
 15 with the method -- the various methods that cities
 16 can use to estimate increases in revenue from a tax
 17 increase?

18 A. So there are, I would say, accepted
 19 methods of estimating tax changes and its revenue
 20 impacts.

21 Q. And what would be the accepted methods of
 22 estimating increased revenue from a tax increase?

23 A. So conceptually you would want to
 24 understand how -- and you said a tax increase?

25 Q. Yeah.

1 of the software tools we talked about earlier like
 2 REMI to help you model changes to the tax base
 3 given a tax policy change, and that would be an
 4 accepted way of doing it.

5 Q. Would another method be IMPLAN or --

6 A. IMPLAN doesn't work for tax changes like
 7 that.

8 Q. If you were asked to forecast the rate of
 9 compliance with a tax, how would you go about that,
 10 or what factors would you consider?

11 A. So for rate of compliance, thinking about
 12 compliance with the tax change, you would want to
 13 think about so what is the -- what is the tax base
 14 being affected. And there's literature on how
 15 compliance differs across tax bases, and so I would
 16 consult the literature and also the historical
 17 performance to use that as a guide as to what the
 18 compliance is and then what, obviously, is
 19 happening in terms of whether you're raising or
 20 lowering taxes. And there's literature on that as
 21 well, and you could consult that to help you think
 22 through what happens with compliance whether you're
 23 raising or lowering taxes.

24 (Document marked No. 25)

25 Q. Why don't I hand you what I'm going to

1 A. So you would want to understand what is
 2 the -- so what does the tax increase mean? Is it a
 3 tax rate increase? Is it an addition to the tax
 4 base? So you would have to think through how is
 5 your tax base going to change by whatever is being
 6 proposed to raise revenue. And so you could
 7 have -- with the tax increase, you could have any
 8 number of things. You could have an addition to
 9 the tax base making it bigger. You could have --
 10 if you increased the tax rate, you would have to
 11 think about well, does that increase in the tax
 12 rate, how does that affect the tax base.

13 There's a number of things. You know, you
 14 would want to parse out sort of changes that affect
 15 your tax calculation, which would typically be your
 16 rate and your tax base.

17 Q. And are there -- you said there are a
 18 number of accepted methodologies for doing that
 19 type of analysis?

20 A. I would say I don't know a number of, but
 21 there is a way that you would go about doing it,
 22 which is you could set up your analysis of here's
 23 your tax change and thinking through all factors
 24 that affect, you know, the tax base, and that would
 25 be an accepted way of doing it. You could use one

1 mark as Exhibit No. 25. It's some data from the
 2 Michigan Realtors Association on residential home sales.
 3 Is this the type of data that you looked
 4 at in your analysis?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And for Detroit Board of Realtors, they've
 7 got a 14 to 13 year-to-date percent change of
 8 42.13 percent in home prices. Do you see that?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So home prices based on the Detroit
 11 realtor data went up 42.13 percent in 2014 so far
 12 compared with the prior year. Is that accurate?

13 A. You said the year-to-date average price
 14 changed?

15 Q. Yeah.

16 A. Yeah, that's right.

17 Q. And you've updated your spreadsheets with
 18 data such as this; is that correct?

19 A. Uh-huh.

20 Q. Okay. But you didn't use the updated data
 21 in your actual analysis or calculations; is that
 22 correct?

23 A. So I used the data -- every time we
 24 updated, I looked to see if growth rates needed to
 25 be updated, so it's one of the things that I would

1 look at in doing updates.

2 Q. Why have home prices increase by
3 42 percent in Detroit thus far in 2014 compared to
4 2013?

5 A. I don't know.

6 Q. Do you know any of the factors that
7 contributed to that increase?

8 A. I don't know why average home price sales
9 have gone up that much. I don't know.

10 Q. Let me ask you this. I mean, can you
11 identify any other cities that have had comparable
12 growth in average home prices in 2014 to Detroit?

13 A. I haven't specifically looked at other
14 cities, so I don't know.

15 Q. Historically in Detroit, has there ever
16 been a period of time where home prices have
17 increased by as much as 40 percent?

18 A. The period I looked at, there was not. I
19 haven't -- I would have to speculate. I don't know
20 before the periods I looked at.

21 Q. What period did you look at?

22 A. So I had consistent data from 2001 onward.

23 Q. Okay. So the increase that we're seeing
24 in 2014 in average home prices is greater than any
25 of the increases that occurred at least since 2001

1 BY MR. SMITH:

2 Q. On Page 15 of the report at the top --
3 A. Okay.

4 Q. -- Ms. Kopacz says that financial modeling
5 is a highly subjective undertaking that is affected
6 by the assumptions made and the professional biases
7 of the analysts developing the model.

8 Do you agree with that statement?

9 A. I may not say highly. I think in
10 financial modeling, there's some art in addition to
11 science to it, so...

12 Q. Do you agree the financial modeling is a
13 subjective undertaking that is affected by the
14 assumptions made and the professional biases of
15 analysts developing the model?

16 A. I would agree with that.

17 Q. And you would agree that financial
18 modeling is both a science and an art?

19 A. I do. I do agree with that.

20 Q. Over on Page 25, there's a section called
21 the plan of adjustment.

22 A. Yeah.

23 Q. And she says even after many years of
24 practice with dysfunctional, insolvent,
25 operationally troubled enterprises, I was confused

1 in Detroit; is that correct?

2 A. I think that's correct.

3 (Document marked No. 26)

4 Q. Let me ask you about what I'll mark as
5 Exhibit 26. Is this the type of data that you got
6 for your building permit spreadsheet?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Okay. And so this has some updated
9 numbers just up to May 2014 of -- I guess there's a
10 total of \$68 million in construction cost for
11 permits that have been issued so far; is that correct?

12 A. That looks to be correct, yes.

13 Q. And is that -- do you know if that's an
14 increase compared to prior periods or not?

15 A. When I looked at it, the -- so the
16 year-to-year change in the last few years had been positive.

17 Q. You have mentioned that you had reviewed
18 or at least up to about Page 75 of Kopacz's report.

19 I'm going to hand you a copy of that. Is that a
20 copy of the report that you were talking about?

21 A. Let me look.

22 MR. STEWART: What exhibit number on this one?

23 MR. SMITH: 27.

24 (Document marked No. 27)

25 THE WITNESS: Yes, this looks to be the report.

1 by the City's projections in POA. Section E of
2 this report provides detail on how the projections
3 in our eyes are structured. Suffice it to say that
4 the 10-year projections, the 10-year, 40-year
5 projections and the restructuring and reinvestment
6 initiatives form an unusual construct for a
7 financial plan for an enterprise attempting to
8 emerge from bankruptcy. Do you see that?

9 A. I do.

10 Q. You haven't ever participated in
11 constructing financial projections that are similar
12 to the ones that have been constructed in the
13 Detroit case, have you?

14 A. I have not been involved in putting
15 together -- what was the word you used?

16 Q. Projections similar to the type that are
17 in this Detroit bankruptcy.

18 A. I have not been responsible for putting
19 together this exact kind of format. That's true.

20 Q. Have you ever been involved in any
21 construction of projections where you had to rely
22 on other experts for their own projections such as
23 the reinvestment projections that were given to you
24 from Conway MacKenzie?

25 A. So in work that I've done in looking at

1 the projections of, say, a particular project, I
 2 would often rely on information provided by a third
 3 party such as the planned construction costs for
 4 the project. So they would forecast how that would
 5 look like over that period of time, so I would have
 6 to take somebody else's work and use it, which is a
 7 little bit, I guess, similar to this situation
 8 where we were looking at information projections
 9 prepared by another group or expert.

10 Q. Page 27, the last paragraph, the second
 11 sentence says the projections in the POA have not
 12 been harmonized with the City's budget that was
 13 passed by the City Council on June 5, 2014.

14 A. I see that.

15 Q. Were you aware that the projections that
 16 Ernst & Young had done had not been harmonized with
 17 the City budget?

18 A. I was not aware of that until I read this
 19 part of the report.

20 Q. Have you looked at the budget's
 21 projections at all in doing your work?

22 A. I looked at past City budgets. I have not
 23 looked at this June 5, 2014 budget.

24 Q. On Page 52, there's an analysis here, the
 25 sensitivity analysis for the revenue sharing. Do

1 change in the 10-year assumption will result in
 2 approximately 21 million change in collected -- oh,
 3 that's income tax revenue. Never mind.

4 MR. STEWART: Sorry. Where are we?

5 MR. SMITH: Never mind. We're in a place
 6 that's not relevant for Ms. Sallee.

7 BY MR. SMITH:

8 Q. Do you have any plans to reserve -- to
 9 read the rest of the Kopacz report or not?

10 A. I guess I haven't thought about it. I
 11 don't know.

12 Q. Do you have any plans to do any additional
 13 work before you testify?

14 A. I will probably do some preparation before
 15 I testify, I'm guessing.

16 Q. But any additional changes to your
 17 forecast, are you planning those before you
 18 testify?

19 A. No, I'm not.

20 Q. In the historical data that you've looked
 21 at, has the City always been in poor financial
 22 shape?

23 A. I was not asked to look at the City's
 24 financial position in the past, and so I didn't do
 25 that. So I don't know.

1 you see that?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And she says at the end of the first
 4 paragraph the statutory payment of 5 percent change
 5 in the allocation would have a cumulative impact of
 6 70 million to the General Fund during the fiscal
 7 year 2014-2023 period. Do you see that?

8 A. Yes, I do see that.

9 Q. In your view, is this sensitivity analysis
 10 that Ms. Kopacz has provided showing a 5 percent
 11 change in the statutory revenue sharing allocation
 12 would have a \$70 million impact over the 10-year
 13 period, is that reasonable?

14 A. Yeah, it is reasonable.

15 Q. Then underneath the graph or the chart she
 16 says the City of Detroit reasonable saw its state's
 17 revenue sharing decreased significantly from a
 18 combined annual total of 267 million in fiscal year
 19 2009 to as low as 173 million in fiscal year 2012.

20 Is that consistent with your
 21 understanding?

22 A. I don't have my spreadsheet in front of
 23 me, but that seems about right.

24 Q. Over on Page 61, at the bottom, it says
 25 for the without-RRIs scenario, every 1 percent

1 (Document marked No. 28)

2 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked
 3 as Exhibit 28, which is an article entitled How
 4 Michigan's Revenue Sharing Raid Cost Communities
 5 Billions for Local Services. And in the third
 6 paragraph, it says over the past decade, lawmakers
 7 and governors from both political parties have used
 8 some 6.2 billion in sales tax collections to fill
 9 state budget holes rather than fulfill a statutory
 10 revenue sharing promise to local communities
 11 according to the Michigan Municipal League, which
 12 released a city-by-city analysis earlier this month.

13 Is that consistent with your
 14 understanding?

15 A. So it is true that the state has not in
 16 the past allocated what statute would say is full
 17 funding to municipalities. It hasn't done that.

18 Q. And the amount for -- in total, is it a
 19 reasonable to say around \$6 billion over the last
 20 decade?

21 A. I don't know where that number is coming
 22 from or how they've calculated it, so I don't know
 23 if that's reasonable.

24 Q. The last paragraph says Detroit, which
 25 filed for bankruptcy protection last year, missed

1 have to do that before I could comment on whether
 2 it was accurate.

3 Q. And in fact, the revenue sharing cuts are
 4 described as a heist in this paper, right?

5 A. That's what the title says.

6 Q. I mean, there's a lot of widespread
 7 publicity about the problems that the cuts in
 8 revenue sharing have had for Michigan cities,
 9 correct?

10 A. It is a topic in news articles and things
 11 that I've read.

12 Q. And isn't it true that the state has cut
 13 the revenue sharing payments and used the money to
 14 balance the state budget?

15 A. So Michigan's financial -- fiscal
 16 situation was pretty dire after the 2001 recession,
 17 and so one form -- one way of achieving a balanced
 18 budget was to not allocate full funding for
 19 municipal revenue sharing.

20 Q. But now Michigan has a balanced budget,
 21 the state, correct?

22 A. Well, they always have a balanced budget.
 23 They're legally required to each year.

24 Q. Well, if you look at this article, the
 25 last sentence on the page or last couple sentences

1 BY MR. SMITH:

2 Q. How about in Flint, Michigan, do you think
 3 they're upset that the state is running surpluses
 4 while they're not paying them the full amount of
 5 revenue sharing?

6 A. I did not ask them that question, so I
 7 don't know.

8 Q. What does the state do with all the
 9 surplus money?

10 A. I don't -- I haven't looked to see how
 11 they've used the money. I don't know.

12 Q. Do you know why the governor's budget
 13 ended up including the increase in revenue sharing
 14 that you've incorporated into your most recent
 15 forecast?

16 A. I don't know why the legislature passed
 17 the increase. I don't know why.

18 Q. Do you agree that you can't tell me what
 19 the property tax rate is going to be over the next
 20 10 years?

21 A. I -- so property tax rates meaning all the
 22 different types of millages, I don't know what all
 23 the different types of millages are going to be
 24 over the next 10 years.

25 Q. Can you -- are you able to testify about

1 say the state is now in an enviable position,
 2 revenues that exceeded expectations. It is posting
 3 large surpluses, but has failed to take steps to
 4 restore local funding. Do you see that?

5 A. I do.

6 Q. And are you aware that the state in recent
 7 years has been posting large surpluses?

8 A. So large, I don't know about large, so you
 9 would have to say well, what do you mean by large.
 10 The state, the last two years they did have a --
 11 their revenues exceeded their planned budgeted
 12 expenses, so they were running a surplus in that
 13 sense.

14 Q. And do you know how much those surpluses
 15 were for the last two years?

16 A. Off the top of my head, no.

17 Q. Would it be fair to say that the fact the
 18 state is running surpluses has made the cities even
 19 more upset that the state isn't increasing revenue
 20 sharing payments?

21 MR. STEWART: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know. I haven't
 23 talked to anyone, so I don't know how they're
 24 feeling.

25

1 the funds that the City expects to receive from the
 2 State of Michigan in the future?

3 A. Not all funds, so the only thing that I've
 4 said that I will speak about is the state revenue
 5 sharing.

6 Q. That's the only source of funds you can
 7 talk about?

8 A. That's the only source of funds I'm going
 9 to talk about, yeah.

10 Q. And in terms of what the actual amounts
 11 that are going to be given to the state, not the
 12 forecasts in your forecast, have you done any
 13 investigation to find out what, if anything, the
 14 City knows about actual sources of funds that might
 15 be provided by the state over the next 10 years?

16 A. Okay. So I got lost in that. What's your
 17 question?

18 Q. Do you know who from the City deals with
 19 the state on revenue sharing?

20 A. No, I don't know.

21 Q. And so would it be fair to say that you
 22 haven't talked to the people at the City to find
 23 out what, you know, actually might happen with
 24 state revenue sharing over the next 10 years?

25 A. So I haven't talked with anyone at the

1 City about state revenue sharing. I'm not sure how
 2 that would mean -- how the City would be affecting
 3 state revenue sharing. I mean, there's two parts
 4 of it. The constitutional piece, I can't see how
 5 City officials can affect that directly. And the
 6 EVIP portion is being decided by the legislature.

7 Q. Well, I'm just wondering not that they can
 8 affect it, but have you asked people at the City to
 9 give you what information they know about what
 10 might actually happen to revenue sharing over the
 11 next 10 years?

12 A. I have not had a conversation with anyone
 13 at the City about what they think might happen to
 14 revenue sharing in the next 10 years.

15 Q. Have had you a conversation with anyone at
 16 the state about what might happen with revenue
 17 sharing over the next 10 years?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Who did you have a conversation with?

20 A. Well, when you say state, I had a
 21 conversation -- I also had a conversation with Jay
 22 Wortley at Treasury, and I had a conversation --
 23 several conversations with Jay Wortley, several
 24 conversations with Jim Stansell at House Fiscal
 25 Agency.

1 referring to?

2 MR. STEWART: Could I have the question read
 3 back, please.

4 (Whereupon, the record was
 5 read as requested.)

6 MR. STEWART: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: He only -- we only talked about
 8 his projections and what he thought was reasonable
 9 for the sales tax and nothing beyond that.

10 BY MR. SMITH:

11 Q. Why is the EVIP going to be eliminated
 12 potentially next year?

13 A. In my conversation with Jim Stansell, that
 14 came up, and this is just his opinion. He's not a
 15 legislator. He thought that people in the
 16 legislature were not really in favor of the program
 17 and that there had been some statements about it
 18 saying that they wanted to change the program for
 19 something else.

20 Q. And what is -- did he tell you what he
 21 anticipates might be substituted for EVIP?

22 A. He didn't know.

23 Q. So right now the only person you talked to
 24 suggested that the EVIP program that you assume is
 25 going to continue for the next 10 years is -- may

1 Q. And what did they tell you?

2 A. So Jim Stansell's pretty pessimistic about
 3 EVIP, thinks it's going to be eliminated next year,
 4 and there's some -- he thinks some program will be
 5 put in its place, but he doesn't see it as -- I
 6 asked him about our assumption keeping EVIP
 7 constant in our forecast, and he agreed with that.
 8 There's that -- it's very variable.

9 And then I talked to Jay Wortley when I
 10 received the state forecast of revenue sharing, the
 11 constitutional portion, and talked to him about
 12 growth rates in sales tax revenue. And so that's,
 13 I think, what we talked about there.

14 Q. Did he believe that sales tax revenue
 15 would be increasing over the next 10 years?

16 A. He did.

17 Q. And did he give you any numbers about how
 18 much he believes the sales tax revenue might
 19 increase over the next 10 years for Michigan?

20 A. So we used his -- the projections from his
 21 office for the 10-year forecast for constitutional
 22 revenue sharing.

23 Q. Did he identify any factors that might
 24 increase the sales tax revenues above what he's
 25 anticipated in the written document you're

1 be eliminated next year, correct?

2 A. So he's responsible for understanding
 3 those kinds of programs. It's his duty at the
 4 House Fiscal, and he thought that program might be
 5 eliminated. The money associated with it would
 6 still be distributed in some ways to the cities,
 7 villages and townships.

8 Q. Did the state official, though, you spoke
 9 with give you any idea about whether there would be
 10 increases or decreases in revenue sharing at any
 11 point in time?

12 A. He didn't comment on revenue sharing as a
 13 whole, increases or decreases, no.

14 Q. Right now the information you have,
 15 though, is -- I mean, the best information you have
 16 is EVIP is likely to be eliminated, perhaps, within
 17 about a year; is that correct?

18 A. So that was one piece of information I
 19 received, and you know, we've decided as others
 20 like Michigan Treasury to hold that funding for
 21 Detroit constant in our forecast given that it is
 22 uncertain. That program could be eliminated. It
 23 could be replaced with something else. And our
 24 most reasonable assumption is that that sort of
 25 type -- that amount of money would likely go to

1 A. So the analysis here, Chicago and
 2 Philadelphia, had decades of decline followed by a
 3 decade or more of population growth, and I don't
 4 know what activities they undertook in terms of
 5 reinvestment or restructuring. I don't know what
 6 they did.

7 MR. STEWART: Can you tell us when there's five
 8 minutes left.

9 BY MR. SMITH:

10 Q. On the second page of this document, you
 11 mention conversations with Jim Stansell, correct?

12 A. Uh-huh.

13 Q. Did you write this document?

14 A. I wrote portions of it.

15 Q. Okay. In this document, you didn't
 16 disclose that Jim Stansell had told you that EVIP
 17 may be eliminated within a year, correct?

18 A. This document was written, I believe, in
 19 January of 2013, and that conversation with Jim
 20 Stansell was after that.

21 Q. Have you had any conversations with
 22 anybody else at the State of Michigan other than
 23 Mr. Stansell and Mr. Wortley?

24 A. I don't think so.

25 Q. Were they individuals that you had known

1 building permits data, and then the third page has
 2 the realtor data, and it's not -- it's only going
 3 up until 2013 it looks like. Do you see that?

4 A. Uh-huh.

5 Q. Is this the most recent version of the
 6 spreadsheets you've created?

7 A. No.

8 (Document marked No. 36)

9 Q. I'm going to hand you what I will mark as
 10 Exhibit 36, and you can let me know if this is a
 11 document that you created.

12 A. This is not a document I created.

13 Q. Do you know why this was created?

14 A. So it says at the bottom prepared by the
 15 Office of Revenue and Tax Analysis Michigan Department
 16 of Treasury, so this is the analysis from Jay Wortley.

17 Q. And do you know how more Wortley put
 18 together this analysis?

19 A. Other than just being able to look at his
 20 steps here, that's all the information I have.

21 Q. And did you rely on this analysis in your
 22 revenue sharing opinion?

23 A. I used his projections for the
 24 constitutional piece in the 10-year forecast.

25 Q. When you see for fiscal year 2013, 2014,

1 before this case or not?

2 A. They were.

3 Q. And how did you know them?

4 A. I had -- so in my previous job, I did
 5 mostly work in Michigan. In a number of projects,
 6 I was either in meetings with them or obtained data
 7 or information from them.

8 (Document marked No. 35)

9 Q. Let me hand you what I'm marking as
 10 Exhibit 35 and let me know if you created this document.

11 MR. STEWART: I have two documents here. Is
 12 this also --

13 MR. SMITH: Never mind that one.

14 MR. STEWART: This is 35? Okay.

15 BY MR. SMITH:

16 Q. Did you create that document?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What's the purpose of that document?

19 A. Well, so do you want me to talk to about
 20 each of them or --

21 Q. Are these separate spreadsheets doing
 22 separate analyses?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. Got it.

25 The second page of this document has the

1 2015, he has consensus written underneath there, do
 2 you know what that means?

3 A. I do.

4 Q. What does it mean?

5 A. So Michigan has a consensus revenue
 6 estimation process, and so these are the sales tax
 7 numbers, or sales tax revenue numbers shown here
 8 are the consensus estimates.

9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, we're at the
 10 five-minute mark.

11 MR. STEWART: And I have a couple of questions
 12 of my own.

13 BY MR. SMITH:

14 Q. Can you explain to me what exactly are the
 15 reimbursement mechanisms under the new legislation
 16 or that may or may not get passed related to
 17 personal property tax?

18 A. What's your question?

19 Q. Can you explain to me what the
 20 reimbursement mechanisms are under the proposed
 21 legislation or measure that would change the
 22 personal property tax?

23 A. So portions -- so there are several
 24 different ways that revenue is being replaced. One
 25 is a new use tax that's being created that would

1 allow money through the use tax to then be sent to
 2 municipalities that meet certain requirements, and
 3 the municipalities themselves would be able to levy
 4 a millage on real property. And there's rules
 5 around how they can set that millage and what it
 6 applies to, and they can set that rate equal to
 7 raising enough revenue to cover essential service.
 8 Essential services isn't the word. It's more --
 9 it's the police, fire, those kinds of services.

10 Q. The amount of reimbursement that the City
 11 receives under the personal property measure, is
 12 that within the control of the City to some extent?

13 A. Within certain parameters, the City should
 14 be able to levy a millage that replaces some of the
 15 lost revenue.

16 Q. And how would it do that?

17 A. Nobody really knows how all this is going
 18 to work, so I don't know how they're going to do
 19 that.

20 Q. Have you had any discussions with anybody
 21 at the City about what they're going to do if the
 22 personal property legislation ends up going into
 23 effect?

24 A. We've had conversations generally about
 25 the personal property tax. They haven't told me

1 A. I think we've covered everything.
 2 MR. STEWART: I have a couple of questions.
 3 EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. STEWART:

5 Q. Ms. Sallee, you testified about population
 6 estimates that you made?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And some came from SEMCOG --

9 MR. SMITH: Objection.

10 BY MR. STEWART:

11 Q. -- in a certain period of time?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And others you came up with?

14 MR. SMITH: Objection. Leading.

15 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

16 BY MR. STEWART:

17 Q. Are you in a position to testify about the
 18 City's anticipated population changes by year as
 19 implied in the 10 and 40-year forecast?

20 A. Yes.

21 MR. SMITH: Objection. Asked and answered.

22 MR. STEWART: Thank you. That's all I have.
 23 We are we done.

24 MR. SMITH: I assume nobody on the phone has
 25 anything.

1 what their plan is going forward.

2 Q. Would it be fair to say that you've
 3 modeled in your revenue forecasts the imposition of
 4 a new tax that is not in effect yet under current
 5 law?

6 A. I think the right characterization of what
 7 I've done is thinking about the probability of
 8 whether the law goes into effect and then what the
 9 likely reduction in revenue would be, and taking
 10 those two factors into account inform the
 11 adjustment made for the personal property tax
 12 repeal.

13 Q. And the amount of the reduction in revenue
 14 depends on what the City ends up doing in the
 15 future in terms of invoking mechanisms for
 16 reimbursement; isn't that correct?

17 MR. STEWART: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that the City
 19 will be able to levy certain millages to replace
 20 some of the lost revenue, and I don't know exactly
 21 what that's going to look like.

22 BY MR. SMITH:

23 Q. Okay. Have we covered all the areas that
 24 you plan to testify about, or are there any areas
 25 that we haven't covered?

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. The time is
 2 5:24 p.m.

3 (FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NAUGHT.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25